

# **Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)**

## **Minutes of the Joint Policy & Technical Committee Meeting**

**January 27, 2022 – 10:00 am**

**Hybrid Meeting (Virtual/In Person)**

### **POLICY MEMBERS PRESENT:**

*Policy Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order*

Baysinger, Susan	City of Portales
Brito, Candy	City of Eunice
Bunch, Clint	City of Clovis
Cavazos, Al	Village of Capitan
Garcia, Roman	Town of Vaughn
Jarvis, Joey	City of Ruidoso Downs
Little, Christopher	Mescalero Apache Tribe
Lovato, Ricky	Roosevelt County
McCroskey, Steve	Eddy County
Myrick, Van	City of Jal
Najar, Louis	City of Roswell
Powell, Leona	Village of Grady
Rael, Stella	City of Alamogordo
Randall, Todd	City of Hobbs
Reid, Bruce	Lea County
Patterson, Jeff	City of Carlsbad
Sena, Ron	Village of Ruidoso
Thornton, Robert	Curry County
West, Joe	Chaves County
Willard, Lynn	Lincoln County

### **POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Bradley, Jerry (Mayor)	City of Texico
Burkett, Mickey (Mayor)	Village of Dora
Dean, Ray (Mayor)	Town of Carrizozo
Estrada, Pete (Mayor)	Village of Loving
Gallegos, Louie (Mayor)	Village of Fort Sumner
Green, Barry (Mayor)	Village of Melrose
Gutierrez, Amy (Mayor)	Town of Tatum
Hall, Jubal	Village of Cloudcroft
King, Kris (Mayor)	Village of Causey
Lovas, Mark	Town of Hagerman
Lucero, Amanda	De Baca County
Porter, Tom	Otero County
Powell, Justin	Town of Dexter
Sainz, Robert (Trustee)	Village of Tularosa
Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor)	Town of Lake Arthur
Sales, Rudy	Village of Hope
Seely, Sam (Mayor)	Village of Corona
Summers, Kim	Town of Elida
Trujillo, David (Mayor)	City of Lovington
Valverde, Summer	City of Artesia
Whitecotton, Toni	Village of Floyd

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of January 27, 2022 Meeting

---

**TECHNICAL MEMBERS PRESENT:**

*Technical Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order*

Abell, Ivan	City of Carlsbad
Baysinger, Susan	City of Portales
Bunch, Clint	City of Clovis
Burns, Jason	Eddy County
Garcia, Roman	Town of Vaughn
Honeycutt, Jeff	Lincoln County
Jarvis, Joey	City of Ruidoso Downs
Jones, Walon	Curry County
Kennedy, Kevin	Village of Capitan
Little, Christopher	Mescalero Apache Tribe
Lovato, Ricky	Roosevelt County
Powell, Leona	Village of Grady
Mendez, Samantha	Village of Ruidoso
Myrick, Van	City of Jal
Najar, Louis	City of Roswell
Rael, Stella	City of Alamogordo
Randall, Todd	City of Hobbs
Reid, Bruce	Lea County
Ruvalcaba, Imelda	City of Eunice
West, Joe	Chaves County

**TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Bradley, Jerry (Mayor)	City of Texico
Burkett, Mickey (Mayor)	Village of Dora
Carbajal, Sonia	Village of Hope
Dean, Ray (Mayor)	Town of Carrizozo
Gallegos, Louie (Mayor)	Village of Fort Sumner
Garcia, Joe	Town of Tatum
Garza, Manuel	Village of Loving
Green, Barry (Mayor)	Village of Melrose
Hall, Jubal	Village of Cloudcroft
King, Kris (Mayor)	Village of Causey
Lovas, Mark	Town of Hagerman
Porter, Tom	Otero County
Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor)	Town of Lake Arthur
Seely, Sam (Mayor)	Village of Corona
Summers, Kim	Town of Elida
Torres, Adolpho	Town of Dexter
Trujillo, David (Mayor)	City of Lovington
Trujillo, Margaret	Village of Tularosa
Valverde, Summer	City of Artesia
York, Ralph	De Baca County
Whitecotton, Toni	Village of Floyd

**COG/NMDOT STAFF PRESENT:**

Arnett, Manon	NMDOT – Roswell
Briley, Alan	NMDOT - Roswell
Mary Ann Burr	Southeastern New Mexico Economic Development District (SNMEDD)

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of January 27, 2022 Meeting

---

Coslin, Libby	NMDOT – Roswell
Fetherlin, Kim	NMDOT – Las Cruces
Gallardo, Judith	NMDOT – Las Cruces
Hudson, Debbie	NMDOT – Deming
Rodriguez, Raul	Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)
Vincent Soule	Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)

**GUESTS PRESENT:**

Allen, Glenda	City of Roswell
Barentine, Jim	CES (Albuquerque)
Hamilton, Eric	Wilson & Company (Albuquerque)
Johnson, Garry	Clovis Area Transit System (CATS)
Koontz, Clay	Stantec (Albuquerque)
Martinez, Alonzo	Souder, Miller & Associates (Las Cruces)
Palomino, Alex	Souder, Miller & Associates (Roswell)
Runyon, Richard	Dennis Engineering (Socorro/Edgewood)
Turner, Tracy	Highland Enterprises, Inc. (Las Cruces)
West, Tammy	Westt, LLC (Roswell)
Yutzy, Jordan	City of Eunice

**CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM (7)**

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**INTRODUCTIONS**

Technical Committee Chair Jason Burns presided over the hybrid meeting and called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Members and guests participated with the Pledge of Allegiance. Introductions were held. With twenty members present, a quorum was established.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Louis Najar made a motion to approve the agenda as presented. Motion was seconded by Jeff Honeycutt. With there being no objections, motion passed by unanimous vote.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Kevin Kennedy made a motion to approve the November 17, 2021 minutes as presented. Motion was seconded by Stella Rael. With no discussion or objections, motion passed unanimously.

**PRESENTATION: STATEWIDE PRICING AGREEMENTS**

Tammy West, Owner/CEO  
Westt, LLC

Tammy West explained to members that she is a private consultant who works primarily with governments throughout the State of New Mexico on public procurement matters. Her background includes retirement from Chaves County where she was the Purchasing Director. Ms. West added that she will be speaking to statewide price agreements and their utilization for road projects.

Ms. West made presentation via PowerPoint and addressed the NM Procurement Code, speaking to the relevant section addressing application of the code. The Code applies to all expenditure by state agencies and local public bodies for procurement of items of tangible personal property, services and construction. Additionally, when procurement involves federal funds' expenditure, the procurement shall be conducted according to applicable federal law and regulations. When the federal regulation or law conflicts with the NM

Procurement Code, the federal law trumps and compliance with federal law or regulations shall be compliance with the Procurement Code. Ms. West addressed a few exemptions, being procurement by a state agency or local public from a state agency or local public body as well as municipalities that have adopted home rule charters and have established their own purchasing ordinances. The definition of *price agreement*, per the Code, was provided to members. Price agreements are established through formal solicitation, and the price agreement is the contract entered into based on the formal solicitation. Solicitation is by a NM State agency or local public body. A description of the process was provided, to include the submission of pricing by vendors; awards to the lowest responsible/responsive bidder(s); specific terms (usually a year); and possible restrictive use by other agencies. The code and requirements for when the state purchasing agent (or central purchasing office) may contract without competitive sealed bids/proposals was discussed.

Ms. West described the benefits of utilizing price agreements. Time and effort are the biggest component of use, as procurement has already been done. Further, there is benefit to bulk buying power pricing (usually lower) and vendor vetting processes, to include NMDOT. She noted that contract terms and conditions included in price agreements extend to local public bodies. To find price agreements, the online links for the State's Purchasing website and NMDOT's website were displayed for members. Ms. West described how to look for and access price agreements on the State's site. The site has been recently updated to be more user friendly. She also described the steps/links to use for searching and accessing price agreements on NMDOT's website. The Cooperative Educational Services (CES) weblink for accessing their price agreements was provided as well. Ms. West mentioned that price agreements through other governmental entities can also be a source, giving Eddy County as an example, who previously issued a price agreement making materials and services available. State agencies must use established price agreements if they are available for items or services they are seeking—for local public bodies and all other agencies, it is an option.

Ms. West listed and described in detail the documentation necessary when using a pricing agreement and stressed the importance of saving all documentation for audit purposes. Cover pages of a statewide price agreement and an agency-specific price agreement were displayed, and items included on the pages were discussed. The presenter covered areas and points that are important and worth mentioning, such as the terms "exclusive" and "nonexclusive" used in the code that are undefined and are subject to interpretation; historical use of NMDOT price agreements by local public bodies for road materials (emulsions and associated products, hot and cold mix, base course, etc.); language in NMDOT price agreements; and guidance from State Purchasing Division. Ms. West discussed the benefits of utilizing state price agreements for state or federal funding (to include LGRF, TPF, IJJA) versus local public bodies individual solicitations (e.g., using state price agreements may avoid getting higher prices for remote jurisdictions). She concluded that her dealings with NMDOT regarding the local public bodies' utilization of their state price agreements has been a hundred percent supportive.

The Chairman pointed out that this information is pertinent to the evaluations coming up on the agenda. He encouraged local competitive bid processes when appropriate for larger projects. NMDOT's price agreements are for their use and for entities throughout the state. The discussion fits in with the evaluation of how to expedite and appropriately spend the funds that are granted to this area of the state. In response to the Chair's question of their local government's price agreements and use by other agencies, it was clarified that the local government needs to have the appropriate language in the solicitation to allow other agencies to utilize the price agreement. Discussion was held on the need to continue the conversation with State Purchasing on utilization of price agreements. A member inquired on the use of a statewide contract that has expired and time delays in the issuance of a new contract (or contract has been pulled off the list), causing delay to the local government. Ms. West responded that in the event an agreement expires (usually they have four-year terms), a local public body cannot utilize that price agreement. A local public body may order up to the day it expires. From that point forward, the local public body will either need to wait for state purchasing to complete their process for a forthcoming solicitation award, conduct their own solicitation or rely on another agency's price agreement.

Member inquiry was made on the ability of a tribal government to use a price agreement and whether a MOU would be an appropriate step. Discussion was held on eligibility as a local public body, procurement code, and chief procurement officer. Ms. West continued that it could take an MOU or joint powers agreement between the tribal government and state purchasing division (or State of New Mexico at some level) and made a recommendation for researching the matter.

#### **ACTION ITEMS**

##### **A. Resolution No. 22-001 Approving the Curry County Functional Reclassification Requests**

Walon Jones, Road Superintendent for Curry County, addressed the group and informed all that they should have received a copy of the resolution in the meeting packets and the PowerPoint presentation before the meeting. Mr. Jones commented that most the roads are in the industrial areas, like the dairies and cheese plant, and this is the reason for the ADT numbers change and change for classification. Louis Najar made inquiry if NMDOT is currently accepting classification changes. Raul Rodriguez, EPCOG, commented that he has visited with the GTG Supervisor who indicated they do not have a person in place (staff are in training). With the reclassification approved by SERTPO, and once NMDOT has the correct staffing power, EPCOG will be able to send it to them and respond to any questions they may have. Mr. Najar pointed out that if NMDOT is taking requests, there may be other entities who may be interested in submitting their own request(s). Louis Najar made a motion that Resolution No. 22-001 Approving Curry County Functional Reclassification Requests be approved. Jeff Honeycutt seconded the motion. With there being no further discussion or objections, the motion passed unanimously.

##### **B. Consider, Discuss and Possibly Act on Draft TPF Evaluation Policy and Procedure for 2022 Project Requests**

Copies of three, separate evaluation sheets were passed out to RTPO members in attendance, and an evaluation sheet was displayed on-screen. The Chair provided background, stating that there has been a group of members (volunteers) who have met several times over the last several months and have had discussions on how the SERTPO Committee should evaluate funding that will be offered by NMDOT through the TPF process this year. The subcommittee has developed a process and criteria to evaluate projects. Submittals will encourage participation, provide good maintenance and produce projects that are needed in southeastern New Mexico. The process (and criteria) encourages participation from the smaller entities as well as larger projects from larger entities and partnerships through the area.

In summary, it was discussed and agreed that evaluations would be separated into three separate categories: capital projects, maintenance projects, and design/planning funding projects. Some of the same criteria and grading is in the three separate evaluations. The plan is that NMDOT D2 will communicate with SERTPO how much funding is available this year with TPF. The intention is that \$5 million will be taken off the top and made available for design. SERTPO will then request and accept applications for design funding. With the design criteria displayed, the Chair described how the evaluation will consider capital vs maintenance (condition), scope, procurement, spent money, last award, previous application, partnerships and phases. Evaluation will be done as a group or subcommittee. The remaining funding will be split between maintenance projects and capital projects.

Regarding maintenance, there are several projects that will be submitted that qualify and fall under the category of maintenance versus capital. A definition has been provided and reviewed with NMDOT. He provided that a maintenance project is a public works project that is rehabilitating, constructing or preserving infrastructure that is already existing--taking it back to a newer or close to the original condition. Additionally, a capital project is a public works project that is improving or upgrading infrastructure. He provided an example whereby if an entity is adding ADA, drainage or improving

the section of a row, it is considered a capital project. If an entity is taking a project that is existing back to what it should be, that is considered maintenance. He explained that several projects with smaller entities that are counties qualify as maintenance, and the intent is to expedite the process. The use of price agreements/NMDOT price agreements, as provided through Ms. West's presentation, expedite the project with the project being able to be procured easier, faster, and less expensive through price agreements versus doing individual competitive bidding. Capital projects need to be competitive bids, and local vendors should be encouraged. Competitive pricing produces the best pricing for the project. For capital projects, the applications encouraged are larger-scale improvement projects that are complete projects, ready to be constructed and shovel-ready. The capital evaluation has a few more criteria: condition, procurement, design, current TPF, previous applications, partnerships, phases, attendance, and connectivity. Attendance and participation at SERTPO meetings are encouraged and included in the criteria.

In response to member inquiry, the Chair explained that entities are being encouraged to apply for design funds and return for capital funds. There are criteria included where an entity will gain five points, bringing back a completely designed project through TPF and applying for TPF construction. Louis Najar clarified that if NMDOT does a call-for-projects, entities will have to complete the application forms dictated by the NMDOT. The criteria being discussed is used to grade the project(s) turned in, whether capital, maintenance, or design. He provided an example where an entity would receive extra points following the process for design as compared to fewer points for an entity such as Roswell. The process encourages smaller entities to compete with larger entities. The NMDOT puts out the application process, and entities must complete their required paperwork. Further, based on the required application paperwork, SERTPO would then use the criteria, grade the project application(s), and submit the ranking to the appropriate NMDOT office. The Chair commented that this is the process of how SERTPO intends to make the recommendations. With there being no further discussion, Kevin Kennedy made a motion to approve the TPF evaluation policy and procedure for 2022. Motion was seconded by Joe West. With no further discussion or objections, motion passed unanimously. The Chair thanked all the individuals who participated and assisted in developing the process, to include their attendance at the meetings in Roswell, Ruidoso and Clovis.

**C. Resolution No. 22-002 Approving Further Exploration of SERTPO Consolidation of Policy and Technical Committees**

The Chair explained that there has been discussion in past meetings regarding consolidating the SERTPO Policy and Technical Committee into one Committee that would utilize a policy subcommittee and a technical subcommittee. He stated that it is currently convoluted having two committees and determining which representative can vote on which item. With the resolution, the RTPO staff would amend the bylaws for the next SERTPO meeting. Ms. Burr commented that the Formal Amendment approved in November, which included budget for legal, was approved this week. In discussion, Louis Najar re-emphasized that the intent is to have one committee and make it easier on the entity that they do not have to have two individuals for SERTPO. An entity can always have a substitute. SERTPO would come together as one committee to vote on future items. Roswell gave their full support. Kevin Kennedy made a motion to approve Resolution No. 22-002 Approving Further Exploration of SERTPO Consolidation of Policy and Technical Committees. Jeff Honeycutt seconded the motion. With no objections raised during the vote, the motion carried/approved unanimously.

## **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS**

### **SERTPO Program Managers Update**

As mentioned earlier in the meeting, Ms. Burr stated that the RWP Amendment presented during the November meeting was approved by FHWA, and both COGS will begin expending the monies from the carry-forward balances. Regarding the traffic counter program, SNMEDD has been active with Lincoln County, setting two traffic counters in Alto. She expressed gratitude for co-worker, Paul Pappas, who assisted in the physical set-up of the tubing. Ms. Burr requested that members be mindful of future events in their communities (conferences, fairs, etc.) where SERTPO may have a presence. They may coordinate with her for promotional development (booth, handouts or similar). She provided an example of a regional event (EnergyPlex conference in Hobbs in June). Regarding CDBG Comp Plans, there is currently one active planning grant (Town of Dexter) with all others in the close-out process.

Ms. Burr spoke to the activity during the holiday quarter with Capital Outlay hearings and Economic Recovery Team focus group meeting activity. With that activity lessening, both RTPO staff are planning to return to the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). She pointed out that a member of the subcommittee has left and named current members. She requested anyone interested in volunteering to contact her or send an email.

Raul Rodriguez informed members that he will be coordinating with the Mayor of Vaughn, hopefully within the next week, to discuss their roads. EPCOG's NMDOT financial and quarterly report have been submitted to NMDOT, awaiting approval. Regarding legislative updates, EPCOG staff have been going to Santa Fe during the legislative session. He will keep members informed on TPF activity and funding amounts and will also be working on the RTP. Vincent Soule, EPCOG, encouraged members to visit the website to follow bills that have been posted, including transportation. He stated there is a procurement bill that gives preference to tribal businesses. There are other bills that may be of interest to counties, such as one that affects assessor offices and taxes (House Joint Memorial Bill or House Joint Resolution). He encouraged all to reach out to legislators during the session.

### **Local Project Updates / NMDOT Update**

Debbie Hudson, NMDOT Planning, had no updates at this time. Regarding functional classifications, Alan Briley, District 2, stated that he is assuming NMDOT is accepting requests, but not necessarily acting on them. At the appropriate time with commission meeting, the requests will be ready and approved. He further commented that it is the time for solicitations for TPF, LGRF and MAP and urged members to be looking for them. Regarding price agreements, he stated that he personally agreed with local entities being able to piggyback on NMDOT price agreements. He offered his assistance in working with State Purchasing and/or NMDOT Purchasing to make sure that the language is in there allowing entities to use their price agreements. Mr. Briley made himself available for any questions from NMDOT and what was happening in the District. The Chair requested that NMDOT be available at the next meeting for the TPF discussion, to which they agreed.

Libby Coslin, District 2, reminded all that she had emailed out MAP application letters approximately two weeks ago—they are due March 15, 2022. Also, Ms. Coslin has emailed the LGRF application requests, which are due March 15, 2022 as well. She commented that if she has missed any entity, to please let her know if they wish to be included on the application request. LGRF should be directed to Libby Coslin or Louis Matta while MAP applications should be sent to Clarissa Martinez.

### **LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMENTS/ISSUES AND PUBLIC COMMENT - (None)**

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of January 27, 2022 Meeting

---

**MEETING DATE/ADJOURNMENT**

The Chair encouraged everyone to attend the meetings in-person. He commented on moving the location of the quarterly meetings to allow different venues. While Roswell is centrally located and appreciated, he expressed the meeting could move around, like NMDOT does their Commission meetings and encouraged in person, to include social distancing as required. He stated that SERTPO is taking big steps, and improvements should be seen soon, with the support due to TPF funding. Regarding the meeting date, Ms. Burr explained that her liaison, Debbie Hudson, advised her of a bridge presentation that needs to fall during the month of March and proposed March 31, 2022, at the Convention Center (hybrid meeting format) for the next meeting date. The State Commission meeting falls on March 24, 2022 (one week before). If complete, the bylaws will be presented at the next meeting. Motion was made by Louis Najjar for adjournment, and motion was seconded by Joe West. With no objections presented during call for vote, meeting adjourned at 11:19 am.

**APPROVED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Policy/Technical Committee Chair/Vice Chair

3-31-22  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**ATTESTED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SERTPO Program Manager

3-31-22  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date



# **Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)**

## **Minutes of the Joint Policy & Technical Committee Meeting**

**March 31, 2022 – 10:00 am**

**Hybrid Meeting (Virtual/In Person)**

### **POLICY MEMBERS PRESENT:**

*Policy Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order*

Ball, Crystal	City of Lovington
Brito, Candy	City of Eunice
Bunch, Clint	City of Clovis
DeSha, John	City of Portales
Garcia, Roman	Town of Vaughn
Hall, Jubal	Village of Cloudcroft
Jones, Walon	Curry County
Kennedy, Kevin	Village of Capitan
Little, Christopher	Mescalero Apache Tribe
Lovato, Ricky	Roosevelt County
Lucero, Amanda	De Baca County
McCroskey, Steve	Eddy County
Najar, Louis	City of Roswell
Osborne, Deborah	City of Alamogordo
Randall, Todd	City of Hobbs
Reid, Bruce	Lea County
Patterson, Jeff	City of Carlsbad
Sena, Ron	Village of Ruidoso
West, Joe	Chaves County
Willard, Lynn	Lincoln County

### **POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Bradley, Jerry (Mayor)	City of Texico
Burkett, Mickey (Mayor)	Village of Dora
Dean, Ray (Mayor)	Town of Carrizozo
Estrada, Pete (Mayor)	Village of Loving
Gallegos, Louie (Mayor)	Village of Fort Sumner
Green, Barry (Mayor)	Village of Melrose
Gutierrez, Amy (Mayor)	Town of Tatum
Jarvis, Joey	City of Ruidoso Downs
King, Kris (Mayor)	Village of Causey
Lovas, Mark	Town of Hagerman
Myrick, Van	City of Jal
Porter, Tom	Otero County
Powell, Justin	Town of Dexter
Powell, Leona	Village of Grady
Sainz, Robert (Trustee)	Village of Tularosa
Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor)	Town of Lake Arthur
Sales, Rudy	Village of Hope
Seely, Sam (Mayor)	Village of Corona
Summers, Kim	Town of Elida
Valverde, Summer	City of Artesia
Whitecotton, Toni	Village of Floyd

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of March 31, 2022 Meeting

---

**TECHNICAL MEMBERS PRESENT:**

*Technical Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order*

Abell, Ivan	City of Carlsbad
Ball, Crystal	City of Lovington
Bunch, Clint	City of Clovis
Burns, Jason	Eddy County
DeSha, John	City of Portales
Garcia, Roman	Town of Vaughn
Gurule, Angelo	Chaves County
Hall, Jubal	Village of Cloudcroft
Honeycutt, Jeff	Lincoln County
Jones, Walon	Curry County
Kennedy, Kevin	Village of Capitan
Little, Christopher	Mescalero Apache Tribe
Lovato, Ricky	Roosevelt County
Lucero, Amanda	De Baca County
Osborne, Deborah	City of Alamogordo
Mendez, Samantha	Village of Ruidoso
Najar, Louis	City of Roswell
Randall, Todd	City of Hobbs
Reid, Bruce	Lea County
Ruvalcaba, Imelda	City of Eunice

**TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Bradley, Jerry (Mayor)	City of Texico
Burkett, Mickey (Mayor)	Village of Dora
Carbajal, Sonia	Village of Hope
Dean, Ray (Mayor)	Town of Carrizozo
Gallegos, Louie (Mayor)	Village of Fort Sumner
Garcia, Joe	Town of Tatum
Garza, Manuel	Village of Loving
Green, Barry (Mayor)	Village of Melrose
Jarvis, Joey	City of Ruidoso Downs
King, Kris (Mayor)	Village of Causey
Lovas, Mark	Town of Hagerman
Powell, Leona	Village of Grady
Myrick, Van	City of Jal
Porter, Tom	Otero County
Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor)	Town of Lake Arthur
Seely, Sam (Mayor)	Village of Corona
Summers, Kim	Town of Elida
Torres, Adolpho	Town of Dexter
Trujillo, Margaret	Village of Tularosa
Valverde, Summer	City of Artesia
Whitecotton, Toni	Village of Floyd

**COG/NMDOT STAFF PRESENT:**

Arnett, Manon	NMDOT – Roswell
Briley, Alan	NMDOT - Roswell
Mary Ann Burr	Southeastern New Mexico Economic Development District (SNMEDD)

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of March 31, 2022 Meeting

---

Coslin, Libby	NMDOT – Roswell
Gallardo, Judith	NMDOT – Las Cruces
Krueger, Neala	NMDOT – Santa Fe
Matta, Louis	NMDOT – Roswell
Moriarty, Joseph	NMDOT – Santa Fe
Najera, Ben	NMDOT – Santa Fe
Neunuebel, John	NMDOT – Santa Fe
Rodriguez, Raul	Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)
Ruiz, David	NMDOT – Santa Fe
Sanchez, Francisco	NMDOT D2 Engineer (Roswell)
Vigil, Jeff	NMDOT – Santa Fe

**GUESTS PRESENT:**

Avitia, Jesus	Souder, Miller & Associates (Roswell)
Barentine, Jim	CES (Albuquerque)
Contreras-Apodaca, Gabby	Stantec (Las Cruces)
Dominguez, Alvin	Bohannon Huston, Inc. (Albuquerque)
Fresquez, Ray	Stantec (Roswell)
Garcia, Yolanda	
Hamilton, Eric	Wilson & Company (Albuquerque)
Harper, Serena	FXSA Consulting (El Paso)
Palomino, Alex	Souder, Miller & Associates (Roswell)
Runyon, Richard	Dennis Engineering (Socorro/Edgewood)
Yutzy, Jordan	City of Eunice

**CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM (8)**

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**INTRODUCTIONS**

Technical Committee Chair Jason Burns presided over the hybrid meeting and called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. Members and guests participated with the Pledge of Allegiance. Introductions were held. With twenty members present, a quorum was established.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Ron Sena made a motion to approve the agenda as presented. Motion was seconded by Louis Najera. With there being no objections, motion passed by unanimous vote.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Kevin Kennedy made a motion to approve the January 27, 2022 minutes as presented. Motion was seconded by Jeff Honeycutt. With no discussion or objections, motion passed unanimously.

**PRESENTATION: BRIDGE FUNDING – BIPARTISAN INFRASTRUCTURE BILL**

Jeff Vigil, Bridge Management Section Manager  
Ben Najera, Engineer Manager  
NMDOT Bridge Management Section

Jeff Vigil, Bridge Management Section Manager, explained that they wished to provide an overview of the Bridge Management Program and bridge inspections but also, primarily, to discuss the recently approved federal funding, Bridge Formula funds, which are part of the Bipartisan Transportation bill. Of the funding allocated to New Mexico, approximately seven million will go to local governments, at a minimum. Bridge

Management wishes to discuss their plan for spending this funding with the RTPOs and MPOs and receive input on bridges selected. Mr. Vigil explained they wish to select bridges that make a difference and that they have not had an opportunity to replace on work on in the past.

At this time, Ben Najera, Engineer Manager, provided an overview of presentation topics, which included the NMDOT Bridge Inspection Program; assessing bridge condition; prioritization using AASHTOWare BrM; funding in the current Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP); the plan for spending additional funds; the escalation in bridge costs; and southeast bridge conditions. The NMDOT is responsible for the inspection of all NMDOT-owned and local government owned bridges, which started in 1972. Local governments are responsible for the maintenance of their bridges; NMDOT inspects the bridges. The NMDOT District offices usually sends a letter or some correspondence regarding bridge condition, to include a bridge inspection report and any recommendations for the bridge(s). Mr. Najera explained that bridges greater than 20 ft. in length are considered bridges, which does include some culverts. A slide was presented for span bridges, and he commented that bridges are rated by the deck area. Diagrams for concrete box and pipe culverts were displayed, and he discussed types as well as their condition ratings.

Mr. Najera explained that their Department is implementing the AASHTOWare BrM program (software) for prioritization of projects. With screenshot(s) displayed, the Manager navigated the page, showing its organization and features, ability to filter bridges for the various MPO/RTPO areas, costs tied to recommended improvements for the bridges, and mapping for bridge locations. Current funding sources, before the new additional funds, in the TAMP are \$60 million annually (National Highway System (NHS), \$40 million and non-NHS Funding, \$20 million) -- all for state-owned bridges only. Bridge Preservation Program Funding is \$14 million, spread amongst NHS bridge preservation, Non-NHS bridge preservation and NMSU bridge inspections (where their staff inspect approximately a quarter of the bridges). Bridge preservation is for NMDOT bridges only.

Regarding the new funding, Mr. Vigil explained that the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law established the Bridge Formula Program, and Congress authorized \$27.5 billion of which \$26.5 billion goes to states and \$825 million goes to tribal transportation facilities. BIA-owned routes are eligible for the \$825 million. He believes New Mexico will receive approximately \$250 million. Bridge Management is anticipating an additional \$45 million annually for the next five years and there is a possibility of that amount reaching \$52 million. Mr. Najera discussed the planned funding that would be applied to the bridge preservation program and non-NHS bridges. There is a minimum of 15% that goes to local governments, which is \$6.75 million annually. If the total amount of new funding reaches \$52 million, the local government amount could be \$12 million. Any remaining funding will go to underfunded projects under development and other projects identified through the bridge priority list. Mr. Najera continued with discussion of bridge costs. He provided a detailed explanation of 2020 unit bid costs; replacement costs and other work treatments; and the use of factors. Total bridge costs per square foot (awarded bidder) were displayed, comparing TAMP estimates and awarded bidder amounts for 2019-2021. Considering the escalation in bridge costs, Mr. Najera displayed and discussed the 2021 unit bid cost; replacement cost, culvert replacement cost, major rehab cost; rehab cost; and maintenance cost.

For the southeast region, the inventory of bridges was presented, showing most in fair condition and six bridges in poor condition. The inventory has 130 non-state-owned bridges and a total of 537 bridges, which includes one tunnel. He explained there are thirteen bridges that are not in their inventory, which are inspected by another entity (i.e., Mescalero, National Forest Service), and their Department does not have the data as to bridge condition. Of the six bridges in poor condition (Chaves and Lincoln Counties), Mr. Najera commented on their statuses. For non-state-owned bridge conditions, graphs showed the percentages for bridges in good, fair and poor condition. The southeast RTPO is close to the numbers on the state side.

Mr. Najera explained that Bridge Management has met with all the Districts and received their top 10 list for local-owned bridges. The list of ten bridges for the southeast was displayed, showing the owner (local

government), work recommendation, estimated cost, year built, and additional information. The majority of the bridges are recommended for rehabilitation with one exception. Total costs are nearly \$10 million. Mr. Vigil explained they will be prioritizing three lists (NHS list, non-NHS list, and locally owned list) for each District. They plan to come back to the RTPOs and MPOs with the lists, so their prioritization may be reviewed and RTPOs/MPOs may provide input or comments. Final decisions will be made by upper management or higher level than Bridge Management Section.

Raul Rodriguez commented that NERTPO sent out a survey to all of their members for their input. They decided to accept NMDOT Bridge Division's prioritized list. Items considered included the need to go through another ranking and rating process which may not be beneficial with the TPF Call-for-Projects and federal Calls-for-Projects with the Bipartisan Infrastructure law. He suggested a similar survey for SERTPO. He added that the Bridge Management Section surveys the bridges, forms recommendations, has a process for estimating cost, and he recommended allowing Bridge Management work their prioritized list.

Clarification was requested on the 6 or 7 million annually that each District is going to receive. Mr. Vigil responded that over the five-year period, it is estimated a minimum of seven million annually, statewide; they have not received assurances that the funding is going to be distributed equally among the Districts; and they wish to work on those bridges that have a high need as they have not had the means to work on those bridges. Mr. Najera commented that, looking at the southeast inventory, which is primarily in fair condition, they cannot guarantee they will be able to work on any of the bridges and spoke to potential benefit for entities that have processes in place to do survey work, plans, etc. Funding can be utilized for design and construction. Members were reminded that these are federal funds, and they do have to meet the NEPA process. The Department will try to assist local governments in that process. If the Department does not spend the 45 million entirely in the first year, it does carry over to the following year. The fifth year would be when they would need to make sure all funds are spent. Regarding the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, Mr. Rodriguez added that there is funding for other items such as culverts, low water crossings, etc.

Christopher Little, Mescalero Apache Tribe, inquired on a contact so the Tribe may get their bridge inspections on the bridge list. Jeff Vigil asked if the routes were BIA routes, and the member confirmed they were. BIA routes are inspected by BIA or federal lands. Mr. Vigil commented that he would get the contact information for the tribal entity. The Chair commented that he did not see any reason why they would not want to use NMDOT's recommendations; requested an email go out with a survey; and suggested an action item for the next meeting. Louis Najer commented that the City of Roswell has already been meeting with the Bridge Department and spoke to the status of their projects on the list that were poor-rated bridges; the City's bridge priorities and approach for design; and requests for funding submitted to the Legislature. Light discussion continued on responsibility of NMDOT and local bridges. The Department is willing to assist local governments through the design process, and Mr. Vigil also confirmed that this funding is now available. A member inquired on bridge responsibility if the bridge is on a state highway within municipal boundaries. Mr. Vigil confirmed that if the bridge is on a DOT route, it belongs to DOT.

**PRESENTATION:**            **NEW MEXICO FREIGHT PLAN**  
Joseph Moriarty, Technical & Freight Planning Supervisor  
NMDOT Planning Division

Mr. Moriarty explained that the new 2045 New Mexico Freight Plan Update will establish the planning and programming framework for freight funding for the State of New Mexico. He added that an update is required every four years to be federally compliant and receive National Highway Program funding, which translates to approximately \$15 million a year. The Plan is greater than the individual funding program as it serves as the Department's strategy and programming/planning document for the future. A diagram presenting the freight plan update process was displayed. Mr. Moriarty commented that the Division has engaged a Freight

Advisory Committee which is made up of freight industry representatives as well as federal, state, and local government representation. High Street Consulting is performing some of the technical background work on economic context and identifying freight corridors and freight bottlenecks. The team is moving into identifying system condition, needs and resources. The goal is to develop a strategy and process to help program National Highway Freight Program funding.

The Planning Team and Outreach Audiences were displayed and consist of the Planning Team (Mr. Moriarty, Freight Planning Program Manager; Rosa Kozub, Multimodal Planning & Programs Bureau Chief; and High Street Consulting Group) and Outreach Audiences (Freight Advisory Committee; MPOs and RTPOs; and Freight Working Group). Mr. Moriarty is making presentations throughout the state, and the Team is very interested in receiving input. The internal freight working groups is composed of NMDOT Engineering Districts, as well NMDOT units such as ITS and international programs (District 1). The Freight Supervisor presented the project timeline. The planning process started in the Fall of 2021, and the first Freight Advisory Committee meeting was held in February. NMDOT is currently working on the strategic direction, compiling facts, and beginning to draft the document. A second Freight Advisory Committee meeting is planned for June or July. The goal is to produce a federally compliant New Mexico Freight update in November. A copy of the presentation will be submitted to the RTPO planners. Mr. Moriarty requested any comments or suggestions that are unique to each entity's locality.

A link to the 2045 Freight Plan was provided. The website contains the first presentation to the Freight Advisory Committee, a meeting summary and previous plans. Also available is a comments section where one may make individual comments. Mr. Moriarty explained that along with the presentation, this is an invitation for anyone who is interested to join the process.

## **ACTION ITEMS**

### **A. Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Timeline Approval**

A draft Timeline has been submitted to members. The Chair requested that District 2 comment on the PFF portion and format. Louis Matta, District 2, provided that they are open to doing in person or virtual/hybrid meetings. The Chair provided that SERTPO has separated the funding in three areas: design, capital, and maintenance. He asked if the District would expect a PFF for each category to which Mr. Matta concurred. The PFF, location map, cover letter, resolution and ROW letter are due April 14, 2022. The Chair inquired if the District wanted one resolution for all projects or one resolution for each project. Discussion followed, to include having one resolution that speaks to the 95%; ease of use when dealing with City Council; and the local entity's responsibility (5%). Mr. Matta stated what the District is looking for on the resolution is that the entity can fund the match (5%).

Mr. Rodriguez commented that it helpful to have the other documents named in the timeline with the PFF submission. Light discussion followed, with the Chair clarifying that environmental clearances are a part of federal funding and not a part of TPF [state] funding. Other time frames were mentioned (i.e., District reviews beginning 4/18/22 and meeting packet deadline of 5/12/2022). Mr. Matta stated that if the entity is going to be on NMDOT ROW, all five certifications are required. If the project(s) is on the local entity's ROW, it will be up to the local entity to certify. Discussion touched on the ROW support letter being on local entity's letterhead with a signature and date line on the bottom for the District 2 Engineer. Mr. Najjar provided that the NMDOT General Office (GO) does not give any clearances until there is a control number. Member inquiry was made if the District 2 wanted the D2 ROW letter with the PFF or final application package. Mr. Matta gave an overview of what to include in the ROW letter. Inquiry was also made if the local entity needs a letter of support from property owners where their ROW is required. Mr. Matta clarified that this is just a DOT support letter [D2 ROW letter], however if the local entity acquires ROW, the District would like the entity to follow the federal process. If the local entity is going to be requesting federal funding at a later date, the local entity must make sure they follow the federal process. Mr.

Rodriguez commented that they have had letters of support from private landowners, hand-written, in the northeast. The hand-written letters boost local support (and not just regional) for the project. The submission of the resolution was discussed, with a copy of a draft resolution being submitted with the PFF if a signed resolution is not yet available. A resolution may be submitted beyond April 14<sup>th</sup>. Mr. Rodriguez suggested that entities already start the planning process for TPF in the next year. Francisco Sanchez, D2 Engineer, commented that there is a lot of gray area with TPF, as everyone had been looking at this as a federal process. He indicated that he supports the evaluation, and it is a good direction for SERTPO. Mr. Sanchez introduced their new government liaison, Manon Arnett. She will be a direct liaison for all agencies, whether its cities or counties and will be the direct contact with any issues.

A member made inquiry if they can use one map with multiple projects (which was acceptable), and inquiry was made if a D2 ROW letter is required if the right of way is a short distance (D2 ROW letter was required). Louis Najar made a motion to approve the Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Timeline, as presented. Ricky Lovato seconded the motion. With no objections raised, the motion passed unanimously.

## **B. Resolution No. 22-003 Approving Public Notice Requirements of the SERTPO**

Ms. Burr explained the resolution, which is approved annually. Louis Najar made a motion to approve Resolution No. 22-003 Approving Public Notice Requirements of the SERTPO. Clint Bunch seconded the motion. With no objections, the motion passed unanimously.

### **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS**

#### **SERTPO Program Managers Update**

Regarding the TAP/RTP awards anticipated during the month of March, Mary Ann Burr reported that NMDOT is awaiting official numbers, their funding targets, and once received, award announcements will be forthcoming. RTPO staff have been working on updating sections on the RTP and are working towards calling the subcommittee together for a meeting, whether virtual or in person. Ms. Burr spoke of the safety educational program with recent distribution of safety education books to local entities and will continue with purchases and plans to reach all local governments. The bylaws revision is near completion and should be ready for the next meeting on May 19, 2022. The May meeting is expected to be a longer meeting with the TPF. Light discussion was held on number of items on the agenda, and all agreed to no educational presentations for the meeting. When a draft agenda is prepared, it will be determined whether to include bylaws and election of officers or include at a separate meeting. Quarterly reports will be available at the next meeting (current meeting date is the end of the quarter).

Mr. Rodriguez encouraged members to continue working their TPF applications and reminded them of the April 14<sup>th</sup> deadline. Final application packets will be uploaded by RTPO staff onto NMDOT website by May 31, 2022. He reminded members of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law which has several infrastructure programs that previously were not funded nationally. Funding for the RAISE program is included and the deadline to apply for that program is April 14, 2022. There is a higher match for local entities in the amount of 14.56%, and it is a reimbursement program. Funding may go higher than 80% if the project is in a community or county of historical disadvantage or poverty. Mr. Rodriguez utilized the website tool provided to search for southeast counties in these categories and believes there are none except for tribal entities. Tribal entities can leverage federal funds for their match. The Rural Surface Transportation Grant program, which has \$300 million available, has a deadline of May 23, 2022. RAISE, a national infrastructure investments program, has 1.5 billion and the deadline is April 14, 2022. The technical assistance grants, with a \$1 million award ceiling, has a deadline of April 27, 2022. The Competitive Funding Opportunity Low or No Emissions grant program, with 1.1 billion available, has a deadline of May 31, 2022. There are many other programs being funded, such as storm water, drainage, etc. For additional information, he urged members to visit the [www.rtpom.org](http://www.rtpom.org) website on the Resources tab.

**Local Project Updates / NMDOT Updates**

Louis Matta informed members that the LGRF monies are in, with approximately three million to disburse to local entities. The funding amount did increase slightly. The District received \$9 million+ in requests, so not all entities can be completely funded. Regarding construction, the District has several projects, to include Carlsbad, Carrizozo, Vaughn (bridge) and Alamogordo (cutler project). Mr. Matta thanked all for their LGRF applications.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mr. Rodriguez commented that postings of trainings and current documentation on TPF is available at the [www.rtpnm.org](http://www.rtpnm.org) website.

**NEXT MEETING DATE**

May 19, 2022 is the next SERTPO meeting date. Light discussion held on TPF presentations. While it has not been officially voted upon and is not a requirement, the Chair commented that it would be good practice that the entities be in attendance and present their project applications, so members may discuss and vote. Louis Najar added that it behooves the entity to be present in case there are any questions and gives the entity the opportunity to counter and give members more information that may have been missed.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Louis Najar made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Kevin Kennedy seconded the motion. Motion passed.

**APPROVED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Policy/Technical Committee Chair/Vice Chair

5-19-22  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**ATTESTED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SERTPO Program Manager

5-19-22  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date



# **Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)**

## **Minutes of the Joint Policy & Technical Committee Meeting**

**May 19, 2022 – 10:00 am**

**Hybrid Meeting (Virtual/In Person)**

### **POLICY MEMBERS PRESENT:**

*Policy Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order*

Ball, Crystal	City of Lovington
BeEVERS, Gordon	Curry County
Bunch, Clint	City of Clovis
Cavazos, Al	Village of Capitan
DeSha, John	City of Portales
Gallegos, Louie (Mayor)	Village of Fort Sumner
Garcia, Roman (Mayor)	Town of Vaughn
Hooper, Wes	Eddy County
Jarvis, Joey	City of Ruidoso Downs
Little, Christopher	Mescalero Apache Tribe
Lovato, Ricky	Roosevelt County
Lucero, Amanda	De Baca County
Najar, Louis	City of Roswell
Norby, JD	City of Eunice
Patterson, Jeff	City of Carlsbad
Randall, Todd	City of Hobbs
Sena, Ron	Village of Ruidoso
West, Joe	Chaves County
White, Matt	City of Jal

### **POLICY COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Bradley, Jerry (Mayor)	City of Texico
Burkett, Mickey (Mayor)	Village of Dora
Dean, Ray (Mayor)	Town of Carrizozo
Estrada, Pete (Mayor)	Village of Loving
Green, Barry (Mayor)	Village of Melrose
Gutierrez, Amy (Mayor)	Town of Tatum
Hall, Jubal	Village of Cloudcroft
King, Kris (Mayor)	Village of Causey
Lovas, Mark	Town of Hagerman
Needham, Corey	Lea County
Porter, Tom	Otero County
Powell, Justin	Town of Dexter
Powell, Leona	Village of Grady
Rael, Stella	City of Alamogordo
Sainz, Robert (Trustee)	Village of Tularosa
Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor)	Town of Lake Arthur
Sales, Rudy	Village of Hope
Seely, Sam (Mayor)	Village of Corona
Summers, Kim	Town of Elida
Valverde, Summer	City of Artesia
Whitecotton, Toni	Village of Floyd
Willard, Lynn	Lincoln County

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of May 19, 2022 Meeting

---

**TECHNICAL MEMBERS PRESENT:**

*Technical Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order*

Abell, Ivan	City of Carlsbad
Ball, Crystal	City of Lovington
Beevers, Gordon	Curry County
Bunch, Clint	City of Clovis
Burns, Jason	Eddy County
DeSha, John	City of Portales
Gallegos, Louie (Mayor)	Village of Fort Sumner
Garcia, Roman (Mayor)	Town of Vaughn
Jarvis, Joey	City of Ruidoso Downs
Norby, JD	City of Eunice
Kennedy, Kevin	Village of Capitan
Lovato, Ricky	Roosevelt County
Morgan, Damian	Mescalero Apache Tribe
Mendez, Samantha	Village of Ruidoso
Najar, Louis	City of Roswell
Randall, Todd	City of Hobbs
West, Joe	Chaves County
White, Matt	City of Jal
York, Ralph	De Baca County

**TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:**

Bradley, Jerry (Mayor)	City of Texico
Burkett, Mickey (Mayor)	Village of Dora
Carbajal, Sonia	Village of Hope
Dean, Ray (Mayor)	Town of Carrizozo
Garcia, Joe	Town of Tatum
Garza, Manuel	Village of Loving
Green, Barry (Mayor)	Village of Melrose
Hall, Jubal	Village of Cloudcroft
Honeycutt, Jeff	Lincoln County
King, Kris (Mayor)	Village of Causey
Lovas, Mark	Town of Hagerman
Powell, Leona	Village of Grady
Porter, Tom	Otero County
Rael, Stella	City of Alamogordo
Reid, Bruce	Lea County
Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor)	Town of Lake Arthur
Seely, Sam (Mayor)	Village of Corona
Summers, Kim	Town of Elida
Torres, Adolpho	Town of Dexter
Trujillo, Margaret	Village of Tularosa
Valverde, Summer	City of Artesia
Whitecotton, Toni	Village of Floyd

**COG/NMDOT STAFF PRESENT:**

Arnett, Manon	NMDOT – Roswell
Briley, Alan	NMDOT - Roswell
Mary Ann Burr	Southeastern New Mexico Economic Development District (SNMEDD)

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of May 19, 2022 Meeting

---

Coslin, Libby	NMDOT – Roswell
Forman, Beth	NMDOT
Gallardo, Judith	NMDOT – Las Cruces
Krueger, Neala	NMDOT – Santa Fe
Matta, Louis	NMDOT – Roswell
Rodriguez, Raul	Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)

**GUESTS PRESENT:**

Acosta-Flores, Carol	Roosevelt County
Aldridge, Stephen (Mayor)	City of Jal
Allen, Glenda	City of Roswell
Barentine, Jim	CES (Albuquerque)
Baysinger, Susan	City of Portales
Contreras-Apodaca, Gabby	Stantec (Las Cruces)
Dominguez, Alvin	Bohannan Huston, Inc. (Albuquerque)
Fresquez, Ray	Stantec (Roswell)
Garcia, Yolanda	Vaughn
Hamilton, Eric	Wilson & Company (Albuquerque)
Harper, Serena	FXSA Consulting (El Paso)
Lozano, Florencio	FXSA Consulting (El Paso)
Martinez, Alonzo	Horrocks Engineers (Las Cruces)
McCroskey, Steve	Eddy County
Price, Kristian	Curry County
Pena, Vince	Stantec (Las Cruces)
Runyon, Richard	Dennis Engineering (Socorro/Edgewood)
Shields, David	Bohannan Huston (Las Cruces)
Stinnett, Scot	De Baca County

**CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM (8)**

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**INTRODUCTIONS**

Technical Committee Chair Jason Burns presided over the hybrid meeting and called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. Members and guests participated with the Pledge of Allegiance. With nineteen members present, a quorum was established.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Jason Burns informed all that he wished to amend the agenda, re-organizing the projects to be grouped by the categories of design, capital and maintenance. Louis Najar made a motion to amend the agenda, as discussed. Ricky Lovato seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no objections, motion passed by unanimous vote.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Jason Burns called for any discussion or questions on the March 31, 2022 minutes. Louis Najar made a motion to approve the March 31, 2022 minutes as presented. Ron Sena seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no discussion or objections, motion passed unanimously.

## **ACTION ITEMS**

### **Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Scoring Evaluations**

The Technical Chair thanked everyone for their participation in the TPF Program. Several applications were received, indicating that there is a lot of activity going on in southeastern New Mexico. There has been hard work over the last couple of months putting the process together so the evaluations will be fair for everyone and to give a good representation of the projects and prioritizations that are going to be submitted to NMDOT. The purpose is to provide District 2 with a prioritization of the submitted applications for review and for District 2 to submit their recommendations to the Secretary [NMDOT] for final approval. The evaluations were developed to prioritize the projects that are shovel ready; can be completed within the year timeframe; and to ultimately benefit the New Mexico taxpayer dollars by expending in a manner that is most meaningful. The ratings are the first iteration of the new process, which will continued to be refined. He encouraged members to send feedback on the process via email (to SERTPO and District 2) so the process can be improved for next year.

Alan Briley, ADE of Construction for District 2 Roswell, offered comments from Francisco Sanchez, District 2 Engineer, who is currently attending the State Transportation Commission meeting. With comments read aloud, the D2 Engineer's intent is to support the criteria the Committee has established, and overall, the Engineer is going to submit his recommendation to the Secretary based on the evaluation scoring.

### **Capital Projects.**

#### **1. Roswell – Hobbs Street Rehabilitation and ADA**

Presenter: Louis Najar, P.E., City Engineer

Louis Najar provided a description of the project, with the project being a mill/fill, and ADA sidewalk where there is no sidewalk and ADA ramps. The project is ready to bid (with plans). Roswell only needs the funding agreement and wage rates. The Chair's recommended points for the individual categories were given with a total score of 17. Roswell had no objection.

The Technical Chair reminded members to ask any questions they may have through the ratings. The applications have been pre-reviewed for the recommendations formed but encouraged members to ask if they wish for any part to be detailed.

#### **2. Roswell – Atkinson (NM 256) Reconstruction/ADA**

Presenter: Louis Najar, P.E., City Engineer

Louis Najar noted that Atkinson is also NM 256, the truck route. The project application was submitted last year. The project is reconstruction, utility, sidewalk, and ADA. The Technical Chair inquired on any current TPF monies, to which Mr. Najar responded that current monies are for Frazier Asphalt for maintenance, and the City is waiting for the company to start work. The Chair's recommended points for the individual categories were given with a total score of 18. Roswell had no objection.

#### **3. Portales – Avenue A (From 1<sup>st</sup> to 2<sup>nd</sup>) Street Construction**

Presenter: John DeSha, Public Works Director

John DeSha described the location of the project and explained that the project is completely shovel ready, with design work all done. The City is waiting for funding for the construction. Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 15 points was recommended. Louis Najar suggested an additional point on condition. With concurrence to recommendations, the project was given a total of 16 points.

**4. Eddy County – SE Loop Reconstruction & Roadway Improvements**

Presenter: Wes Hooper, Community/Administrative Services Director

Wes Hooper informed members that the project is a reconstruction of CR 605 (Refinery Road) and is a very high priority for the County. It is important for the future plans of the whole loop around the City of Carlsbad. The project is also high priority for NMDOT with their reconstruction of NM 31 as this route would be used, if completed, as an alternative route, getting industry back and forth between US 285 and US 62/180. Phase 2 and 3 includes traffic signals on US 60/180. There is a partnership between NMDOT, the City of Carlsbad and Eddy County, to get the traffic signals in place. Alan Briley, NMDOT, inquired if the phases can be separated so there is no interference with District 2's design build. Mr. Hooper explained that Phase 1 of the project is complete, and Phases 2 and 3 are 100% designed. The County's plans are to bid Phase 2, considering funding and cost increases. The County believes that if fully funded, they will be able to get Phase 3 complete all the way to the point of NM 31 and tying into NMDOT. The County has been coordinating with NMDOT's engineers and staff. Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 18 points was recommended. Louis Najar spoke to points on partnerships, and further inquiry was made for any other partnerships, to which Mr. Hooper responded with the City of Carlsbad. Points were increased to 21.

**5. Mescalero – Nogal Canyon Road**

Presenter: Damian Morgan, Public Works

Damian Morgan described the project, which consists of construction paving and drainage improvements. There has been flooding. The Chair inquired on the condition of the road, and Mr. Morgan confirmed it is a gravel road. Individual categories were reviewed, a change (addition) was made to phasing, and a total score of 18 was recommended. Alan Briley requested clarification on the jurisdiction of roads tying into the project (County and Forest Service) and coordination with those entities. Alonzo Martinez responded that the roads would be BIA routes. The Chair requested Mr. Morgan to have Christopher Little send District 2 an email, and they can adjust that score, if there are partnerships in place.

**6. Jal – 2022 Roadway Improvements**

Presenter: Matt White, City Manager

Regarding the project which includes a number of streets, Matt White explained that NMDOT plans to be rebuilding NM 128 to the center of Jal, hopefully in January or February of next year. Speaking to a partnership with the County and pointing out the streets in the handouts (passed out by Ray Fresquez), Mr. White stated that Wyoming goes west out of the city and turns into Dump Ground Road. Jal has already rebuilt five-six blocks of the street, but additional funding is needed to continue rebuilding to the city limits so Jal can handle the increased truck traffic that will result due to the NM 128 rebuild. The increased traffic has already begun with the backup and congestion from NM 128. He explained the need for rebuilding Continental and Montana as these roads will serve as a route for traffic to the Clinic, once truck traffic flows over onto Wyoming. Working on Ocho Road gives the City another alternate route to prevent traffic coming through the middle of the city. The Manager explained all streets are tied into the NM 128 rebuild, and they have been coordinating with District 2.

Light discussion was held on capital versus maintenance for named streets, and streets in project were confirmed with the diagrams provided. Alan Briley, District 2, recommended additional points for cooperation with entities as Jal has been taking the lead in coordinating with NMDOT and their design team to alleviate traffic congestion on the future rebuilds. Discussion was held on criteria for partnerships. Louis Najar recommended additional points on partnerships as that part of the State is having difficulties (District 2 concurred). Jal received a total of 16 points.

**7. Eunice – 2022 Roadway Improvements**

Presenter: JD Norby, Ausco Enterprises, LLC, on behalf of City of Eunice

JD Norby explained the project is for four specific roads (Avenue J, Avenue K, 16<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> Street), which were chosen due to their impact to the city. The streets surround the school complex K through 12 or cut through the complex. The City wishes to improve pedestrian and roadway travel. He described the condition of the road. He explained they wish to rebuild the basic roadbed structure, affected curb and gutter, and ADA, to include the pedestrian travel way for children and those picking up children for school activities. Inquiry was made on the scope of the project. Mr. Norby provided detail on the existing roadway structure and planned improvements (i.e., subgrade, pavement) for the roadway that is 40 years old. Pedestrian travel and drainage will be improved. ADA improvements will be made at every intersection as well as sidewalk. The schools have already made a huge impact to some of the roads and facilities, which includes ADA impacts. Children are currently walking in the street, and the City is trying to create a safe travel way (Avenue J and K).

Individual categories were reviewed, with points given. Inquiry was made by District 2 on any cooperation, funding, or support, from the schools that would help the City with points for partnerships. Mr. Norby was unable to confirm written agreements but did offer that there is no funding from the schools. The schools are correcting curb and gutter as they rebuild structures, improving driveways and sidewalk on their side of the road. The City communicates and partners with them within the town, being good neighbors. Eunice received a total of 14 points.

**8. Clovis – Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd, Phase 3**

Presenter: Kelsey Knight, Grant Coordinator  
Clint Bunch, Public Works Director

Kelsey Knight spoke to the project costs and funding amounts for MLK Jr. Blvd, which is the final phase of the project. She continued by speaking to the previous participation with TPF on the 7<sup>th</sup> Street project. MLK is a vital arterial road, located within a locally-owned right of way that connects the north and south sides of Clovis; the Plains Regional Medical Center; public housing; and US 60/84. The roadway will have reconstruction from 7<sup>th</sup> to 21<sup>st</sup> Street, with the addition of sidewalks, bike lanes, ADA improvements, drainage, and expanded lanes to ensure safety (to include a safe route to the hospital). She continued to speak to several safety hazards. Design is 100% complete, and the project will be advertised for bid within thirty days after a grant agreement. The Grant Coordinator commented on the 43% match for the project, as opposed to the 5% required match.

Inquiry was made on the condition of MLK. Ms. Knight responded that there is absolutely no shoulder on the roadway, particularly on the west side of the road with the Worthington ditch, a very steep drop. The roadway is dangerous for pedestrians/bicyclists/motorcyclists en route to the hospital. The roadway needs to be expanded for safety. Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 19 points was given.

**9. Chaves County – Brasher Bridge Replacement**

Presenter: Joe West, Road Director

Joe West listed the project funding request and explained that the County has been working on replacing the bridge for four to five years. They have received quotes with the intent to go through CES procurement have been working with companies in Albuquerque for the last five years. He explained that in 2018, they were quoted \$800,000; however, the same exact project today is 1.75 million. The County has been awarded funding through legislative appropriations which will go towards the project, but monies will not be accessible until September or October, the same timeframe for possible TPF funding award. The County intends to use CES. Plans are to use CBC and replace the existing with concrete box culverts. The route is one of two for accessing the City of Roswell landfill. He added that wind events have caused

traffic to be diverted down Brasher Road, which includes 18 wheelers traveling the route. Inquiry was made on status of design plans with design build. Mr. West responded that they have quotes; familiarity with the project by the company they are planning to use; traffic studies; and NMSP traffic reports. There have been a few accidents, with one fatality in 2017.

Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 16 points was recommended. Louis Najar recommended more on condition because the bridge will be widened--widening will be required for the shoulder, pedestrians, and the river crossing. With concurrence to recommendations, the project was given 17 points.

### **Design Projects.**

#### **1. Ruidoso – US 70/NM 48 Intersection and NM 48 Corridor Reconstruction**

Presenter: Samantha Mendez, Community Development Director

Samantha Mendez informed members of the cost of their design project (\$1.7 million) and project location. She continued with background of a feasibility study conducted by Bohannon Huston in 2021, which involved stakeholder review and public input. The study was presented to NMDOT District 2. The Director explained that the City had received funding previously for the initial study (2018), at which time the City was considering different options (i.e., High-Tee or roundabout). The study concluded that it was most appropriate to pursue a High-Tee, with reconstruction and drainage improvements to NM 48 to the Mescalero intersection. Property acquisition will be required. If awarded funding, the City will be ready to issue a purchase order through their on-call engineering agreement with Bohannon Huston. The City is not seeking a hardship waiver, and the total amount requested will be sufficient to cover the entire project. The project for design is in NMDOT ROW, and District 2 has signed the ROW support letter.

Alan Briley, NMDOT, requested more information on the collaboration with other entities. Ms. Mendez provided that the City has worked with the Main Street Association, who has been involved with the process, and the corridor is within their metropolitan redevelopment areas. The City also has support from the hospital administrator (letter included in packet) as ambulances utilize the intersection daily, and it is important to have improved traffic flow. Inquiry was made on a need for safety for the area due to traffic accidents and hospital access, to which Ms. Mendez confirmed the safety issue.

Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 16 points was recommended. Discussion was held on partnerships. Chris Little, Mescalero, pointed out they had partnership with the project, and Ron Sena offered that the route is NMDOT's route, which they are designing. Louis Najar recommended additional points on partnership. With concurrence on recommendations, total points were increased to 18.

#### **2. Capitan – Tiger Drive (Design Phase)**

Presenter: Kevin Kennedy, Project Manager

Kevin Kennedy provided the location of the project (bypass between US 380 and NM 48) and explained it was a busy road with traffic from the schools, county yard and senior citizens. The road is very narrow and dangerous, and when used by the County, local traffic is unable to use the road. The Village has an engineering firm on contract and would be able to start immediately. The project was applied for last year (TPF) but was unfunded. The schools have deeded property over for the project.

Individual categories were reviewed, a total score of 16 points was recommended. An additional recommendation was put forward for increased points on partnership due to relationship with two different entities. Additionally, Mr. Kennedy spoke to the condition of the road, and light discussion was held on safety and widening of the road. With concurrence on recommendations, total points were increased to 19.

At this time, the Chair informed members that calculations will be checked on all evaluations before they are submitted.

**3. Carlsbad – Dark Canyon Bridge**

Presenter: Mike Abell, Director of Projects

Mike Abell explained that the City is proposing Dark Canyon Bridge and Bikeway for TPF preliminary and final design, which will include survey mapping, environmental geo tech, civil and structural engineering, along with environmental. The project is for a new bridge and roadway over Dark Canyon Arroyo. The Director spoke to a fatality that occurred during flooding last year. The roadway is the second roadway that connects the north side of town from the south side. He described the alternative route of travel, traveling 31 miles out to the East of Carlsbad and tying back to US 60/180, and then to the hospital. He mentioned there were numerous dialysis patients in the county.

Inquiry was made on method of procurement, to which the Director confirmed that the City has an on-call agreement with Smith Engineering to do preliminary and final designs for the project, and their firm has partnerships with other engineering firms for their structural, environmental and geo tech. Inquiry was made on whether funding design could be completed within twelve months, to which the Director responded affirmatively. The City also has a partnership with Eddy County. Light discussion was held on condition of road, with it being an emergency route and avoidance of closing the road due to flooding. Individual categories were reviewed and a total score of 19 points was given.

**4. Eddy County – West Loop Phase 2 Design**

Presenter: Jason Burns, Public Works Director

Mr. Burns explained that the design is for new road, going from the existing West Loop, currently under construction, moving south of the airport—for further access through Carlsbad and southern Eddy County. Inquiry was made on procurement, to which the Director confirmed the County has an on-call arrangement with Stantec Engineering. Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 15 points was given.

**5. Hobbs – SR 132 – Dal Paso Design**

Presenter: Todd Randall, City Engineer

Mr. Randall stated the design is for State Road 132, north Dal Paso, which connects to NM 18 and NM 218. Mr. Randall spoke to significant design changes and drainage improvements, explaining that when it rains (dip in road), the road must be closed. The Engineer added that the City does not have any TPF funding. Inquiry was made on procurement. Mr. Randall confirmed that they do not have on-call engineering, and the City will have to do an RFP for this project, specifically because it is unique. Regarding partnerships, Mr. Randall explained that the design is for State Road 132, and it is state infrastructure that will be improved.

Individual categories were reviewed, and categorical points were recommended. Louis Najjar suggested more points for condition as they are adding turning lanes, widening the road, and improving safety. A total of 14 points was given.

**6. Lovington – Project 3: Design Streets and Sidewalks**

Presenter: Crystal Ball, Planning & Zoning Coordinator

Ms. Ball explained to members that Project No. 3 consists of several roads in their community that are currently existing gravel roads, and the City wishes to design the streets for pavement, sidewalks, curb, and gutter. The Coordinator added that there is one road that is paved, however, it is in their Special Flood Hazard Area, and the City needs to design for improved drainage, road widening and new culverts. Individual categories were reviewed, and a total of 15 points was given.



**7. Portales – Avenue A Street Design**

Presenter: Susan Baysinger, Public Works Project Administrator

Susan Baysinger explained that the City is looking to make improvements, to include infrastructure, with the roadway connecting to US 70, going onto 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, which is around their downtown square area. This project is the third phase of a four-phase project. The surface area of the road is extremely deteriorated, with cracking and spalling. There are ADA problems and drainage issues that need to be addressed. Procurement can be done within thirty days, through CES, and the City did request a match waiver. The street is essential for travel to downtown, dentists, offices, governmental and medical facilities.

Inquiry was made on the scope of project. Ms. Baysinger responded that the design is for new asphalt, drainage, sidewalk, and ADA improvements. Further, there are drop-offs and ramps that do not meet the criteria for current standards. John DeSha, Public Works Director, added that the roadway is a concrete roadway that had a previous overlay on it, and the design will be for a complete removal of the concrete, reconstruction of the asphalt and ADA improvements. Individual categories were reviewed, and a total of 14 points was given.

**8. Portales – Avenue O & 18<sup>th</sup> Street Intersection Design**

Presenter: John DeSha, Public Works Director

John DeSha informed members that this is a design project for a concrete intersection for Avenue O and 18<sup>th</sup> Street, a continuation of a multi-phase project. The City is completing another phase this week of 18<sup>th</sup> Street reconstruction. The roadway has heavy truck traffic and serves as partial access to the hospital. The road connects to US 70 and ENMU.

The Chair made inquiry on the scope of work as compared to Avenue A design. Mr. DeSha clarified that for Avenue A, the City would be removing concrete and going back with asphalt, and regarding Avenue O and 18<sup>th</sup> Street intersection, the City will be removing the asphalt and going back with concrete. Individual categories were reviewed, and the project was given a total of 14 points.

**9. Portales – Main Street Design**

Presenter: John DeSha, Public Works Director

John DeSha provided that this another design project in the downtown area, connecting to US 70 where it splits, which is considered their 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Street. Concrete subgrade of the roadway will be removed and completely replaced with asphalt. ADA and drainage issues will also be addressed. Inquiry was made on phasing. The Director commented that the project can be phased, but the preference is for all at once--it is a complete project. Individual categories were reviewed, and the project was given a total of 15 points.

Alan Briley, NMDOT, inquired if there could be engineering for drainage studies only or if the design has to be for road improvements. Light discussion was held, with comments offered that all entities have the same issues, and additional services fall within the purpose of design monies. It was deemed appropriate for large applications.

**10. Mescalero – Project 1: Service Route 10 and 379**

Presenter: Christopher Little, Public Works Director

Christopher Little explained that Mescalero has five different planning projects that are identified in the Tribe's long range transportation plan. In their inventory, these roads are needing to be fixed and repaired due to their conditions. Service Route 10 and 379 is one of Mescalero's major bus routes for children. Speaking to condition, Mr. Little commented that the roadway's guardrails do not meet height requirements; the clearing zone requirement is not met for steep slopes; pavement is cracking; roads are dipping; and there is subgrade failure, sidewall cracking, and drainage issues. Inquiry was made if the design funding for the five projects would be better suited to treated as maintenance. Mr. Little did not wish for them

to be considered maintenance projects as the roads need to be planned and designed for reconstruction and drainage improvements. He provided an example of one of their current projects with maintenance work that is not working as planned. Mescalero needs to go forward, asking for proper funding to do the proper work that is required, and have longevity for the road(s). Mr. Little added that, regarding partnerships, he can acquire contracts that they have with the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA). Regarding procurement, Mescalero has on-call engineering and has the resource of BIA engineering for assistance. Member comment was made that with capital funding, the projects should not be lumped together, and they need to be individual projects. Mr. Little explained that they have their communities spread out, and they have a unique situation. His preference is not to piecemeal the projects but go forward with applications as presented.

Alan Briley inquired if the projects listed are in a sequence of priority. With funding limited, he asked which project(s) would be number one or two priority. Mr. Little responded that if projects had to be cut, his preference would be to cut Nogal Road construction. His preference is for the planning and design projects, and he confirmed his preference upon additional inquiry. Individual categories were reviewed, and a total of 12 points was given.

11. **Mescalero – Project 2: Service Routes (Multiple) – 5.7 miles**  
**Project 3: Service Routes (Multiple) – 2.8 miles**  
**Project 4: Service Routes 4, 8, 97 & 377 (6.7 miles)**  
**Project 5: Service Routes (Multiple) – 3.3 miles**

Presenter: Chris Little, Public Works Director

The Chair offered a recommendation for rating Mescalero's remaining design projects together, as points are going to be similar across the board. Mr. Little stated that he would like to visit some of these points later down the road, but for time's sake, the group can go through these as one big overall project. He repeated that the projects go back to their long-range transportation plan, and inventory of their road systems. The roads considered are not only bus routes, but routes to their hospital, school, tribal office, housing areas and churches. The projects are broken up into zones, and there are different zones on the reservation. Discussion was held on capital projects; individual applications so funding can be requested in a following year; and roads being all connected, representing one road system. Individual categories were reviewed, and categorical points given. Mr. Little spoke to condition of roads, requesting additional points, and concurrence was reached for more points. Light discussion was held on previous TPF projects. Points given for Project 2 was 13 points, and recommended points for Projects 3, 4 and 5 were also 13 points. Mr. Little reminded all on the conditions, in that there is a need for reconstruction, and there are subgrade failures. Mescalero is in need for getting the roads fixed. The Chair commented that the projects have a pretty good score, and not all roads are capital projects.

12. **Otero County - Timberon Guardrail Replacement Safety Improvement**

Presenter: Eric Hamilton, Wilson & Company, on behalf of Tom Porter, Road Superintendent

Eric Hamilton offered to members that the County's application is for planning and design, and the location is on Sacramento Canyon Road, from NM 65 to 6563 to CR C001 for a length of 13.1 miles. He explained that the existing guardrails' condition is in dire state and was installed in the early 1990's. The guardrails are non-treated metallic W beam that has rusted, and in some locations, it is rusted nearly all the way through to wooden posts that have rotted out. The road superintendent must install year-round traffic control signs for damaged guardrail. The County is having a difficult time getting certified contractors to do replacements due to their condition. This is the County's second time for TPF application, with this year being for design only. The County intends to procure the design under their current on-call engineering agreement. Individual categories were review, and a total score of 16 points was given.

**13. Ruidoso Downs – 2022 Sidewalk Improvements**

Presenter: Joey Jarvis, Public Works Director  
Richard Runyon, Dennis Engineering

Joey Jarvis introduced the City's engineer, Richard Runyon with Dennis Engineering, who is going to speak on the project. Mr. Runyon provided that the project is for the design of sidewalk improvements along US 70. Maps are included in the application. They have been discussing the project with NMDOT, and they have brought up some good points that will be addressed during the design phase. The application is for design funding, and, if awarded, application for construction will follow in the next cycle. Dennis Engineering has been awarded an RFP and is moving forward. The sidewalk will be ADA compliant, and they are considering slopes and light poles on the edge, which will have to be adjusted accordingly. The work would be like other parts of the highway, and the improvements would connect two portions of a sidewalk done previously. Upon inquiry, Mr. Runyon confirmed that the RFP has been awarded and in place, with engineering ready to go.

Individual categories were given, and categorical points recommended. Alan Briley inquired on the reason that portion of sidewalk was skipped. Mr. Jarvis responded that original project had approximately five-eighths [63%] of the project installed. The City did apply through SERTPO and received monies for another portion. He stated he is unaware why NMDOT did not install the sidewalk, which is in their ROW. There is approximately 2,000' missing, and it does not appear to be a drainage issue. Regarding the community, the majority of residents live on the east side of the community with businesses on the west side. Total points given were 15.

**14. Tularosa – First Street Reconstruction**

Presenter: David Shields, Bohannon Huston, representing the Village of Tularosa

David Shields provided that the Village of Tularosa has applied for planning and design for First Street Reconstruction, from Higuera to Central (US 70), and they have received ROW support from NMDOT. The road has deteriorated and fallen apart, mostly due to the acequias that meander throughout the Village. Their plan is to address pipes and culverts, so there is protection going forward. First Street is the major corridor for the Village. It is part residential and serves as a bus route and traffic to K-8 schools—all schools other than the high school are on First Street. There is an on-call engineer, with agreements already in place. They are looking for planning/design, coming back the following year for [construction] funding.

Inquiry was made if the project should be considered a maintenance project with the mill/fill or if there are additional improvements. Mr. Shields responded that there are several failures which are dips, and there are areas that would have to be completely removed and rebuilt, with culverts installed. Portions of the roadway may be mill and fill, but the majority is reconstruction. The design will formally include identification of the failure areas.

Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 14 points was given.

At this time, the Chair stated that there were three applications for both planning/design and construction (De Baca County, Fort Sumner and Portales [Chicago Ave]). The projects appear not to be shovel-ready and do not fall in line with the criteria. The Chair opened the floor for discussion and to take recommendations on how to proceed with the applications. Louis Najar recommended the applications be considered for design. With applications being for both design and construction, estimates are off. District 2 confirmed they would consider only design or construction. An additional suggestion was offered to recommend ten points across the board. Mr. Najar recommended to score them as design and have each applicant give their presentation.

**15. De Baca County – Yeso Creek Road Drainage Improvements Project**

Presenter: Scot Stinnett, De Baca County Commission Chair

Scot Stinnett explained that the project is a main rural thoroughfare that joins US 60 and US 285. There is a significant amount of traffic on these roads, and a great deal of the road surface has been lost due to issues on the route. The County has never applied for TPF before, and they are using Dennis Engineering. Mr. Stinnett stated that the County was unaware that their application did not fit the categories and inquired if there was another venue to make application. Louis Najar responded that they qualify for design as step number one. Once the design is complete and project is shovel-ready, the County can come back next year for capital application. Inquiry was made if the project is phased or a complete project. Mr. Stinnett responded that they would like to do a complete project, but they do not believe the funding requested will do the whole project, based on preliminary engineering already done. The County Chairman explained that there are four low water crossings that continually undermine the road surfaces. The County has to rebuild those low water crossings so the integrity of the road can be maintained. An estimate of cost for design was requested. With the million-dollar project originally presented, the Technical Chair asked if \$250,000 would be adequate for the design. Both the engineer and County agreed to the amount.

Individual categories were reviewed, and categorical points recommended. Light discussion was held on phasing, and a total score of 14 points was given.

**16. Fort Sumner – 2nd and 3rd Street & Drainage Improvements**

Presenter: Louie Gallegos, Mayor

Louie Gallegos explained they are in the same situation as De Baca County as their scope of work included planning, design, reconstruction, pavement rehabilitation and street drainage improvements. Richard Runyon, Dennis Engineering, offered that the design amount would be \$70,000. The project is smaller and is of a very different magnitude. Inquiry was made on the type of work being planned. Mr. Runyon explained that improvements include milling the existing road, subgrade preparation, base course and new pavement. The Chair asked if state procurement or state pricing agreements would be used, to which Mr. Runyon responded Fort Sumner will go out to bid. The Chair indicated that they would continue with a design scoring since they are going out for bid which indicates it is not maintenance. Maintenance is geared around state pricing agreement and price agreements.

Individual categories were reviewed, and a total score of 12 points was given.

**17. Portales – Chicago Ave Improvements**

Presenter: John DeSha, Public Works Director

John DeSha provided that Chicago Ave is a short section of roadway that connects US 70 to NM 88. It is primarily used for school traffic. NMDOT uses it as a major drainage for US 70 to connect that drainage to NM 88 and continue on up to the Kilgore drainage ditch. The road is currently in failure. The only component that is designed is a short section that did not have curb and gutter included in it. Asphalt would be removed and replaced with concrete. Mr. DeSha stated that the design cost would be \$100,000 to \$105,000. Drainage is an issue, and they will be adding curb and gutter where it was left out of the original construction. Responding to a question asked on the drainage issue or flooding, Mr. DeSha, also the City's Floodplain Manager, commented that it is in the flood zone, and there is a potential for flooding for a couple of residences and businesses. He also confirmed that they have an on-call engineer, and the project is not phased.

Individual categories were reviewed, a total of 13 points was recommended. Mr. DeSha recommended more points on condition as the road is in full failure, and it is a giant pothole that the City is continually filling with material. Susan Baysinger, Capital Projects Administrator, added that this is a main route to its junior high school. With concurrence on recommendations, points were added for a total of 14 points.

The design evaluations were concluded. Alan Briley asked for a reminder to everyone about the SERTPO policies set forth as far as the amount of allocation to be applied toward design. The Technical Chair commented that it was the recommendation from the committee that every year TPF is allotted funding from the excise tax, to take \$5 million off the top for design and professional services. Once design funding is applied, the remaining funds would be split 50/50 between maintenance projects and capital projects. This plan was recommended, but the District Engineer has discretion with its recommendations.

### **Maintenance Projects.**

The Technical Chair commented that all maintenance applications have been reviewed and recommended scores of 10 points each [maximum points] for all maintenance applications. He asked if there were any maintenance applications that do not intend to use state price agreement, CES or be able to have a PO within thirty days. The intent for maintenance application was the use of state price agreements, taking roads back to existing conditions. Roswell and Portales agreed to the recommendation of 10 points to everyone. No objections were raised.

Inquiry was made to Roosevelt County if their roads could be done in a year's time. Ricky Lovato responded that his contractor has given him assurances that he can get it all done. He confirmed a local pricing agreement will be used by Roosevelt County.

Portales confirmed that they are using CES. Gordon Beevers responded to questions for Curry County regarding whether application included design and professional services or materials only. Mr. Beevers provided their costs should be for materials, and the County will be following its standard road policy which has design included. The County has established state pricing agreements, or they already have, in place, existing contracts for materials and services.

## **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS**

### **SERTPO Program Managers Update**

Mary Ann Burr informed members that the draft RTIPR has been prepared, and once ratings received, adjustments and points will be inserted. Evaluation scoring sheets will be finalized and submitted to Ms. Burr. Ms. Burr will coordinate with District 2 on supporting documentation/forms for applications changed to design, and the RTIPR will be shared with District 2. Lincoln County and Roswell were congratulated on their recent awards for TAP (Magado Creek Pedestrian Bridge and Trail, Lincoln County) and RTP (Spring River Trail Rehabilitation, Roswell). Raul Rodriguez announced that there was an award for Clovis for the Liebelt Channel Trail (RTP).

When TPF activity has been completed, the RTPO staff will continue with the brief bridge survey as discussed in an earlier meeting. Once member input has been received, a draft resolution for the bridge prioritization can be prepared for the next meeting. Members were reminded of the New Mexico Counties Annual Conference being held next month, June 13-17, 2022 (Albuquerque Convention Center). There is also a conference in the region, the New Mexico EnergyPlex on June 21, 2022 (Lea County Event Center, Hobbs).

Raul Rodriguez announced that federal funding was awarded to MainStreet for Clovis, a final phase of the project. EPCOG's quarterly report for the last quarter has been submitted to their NMDOT Liaison, and once approved, the report will be posted online. There continues to be funding coming down from the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law. Mr. Rodriguez has resources for BIL on the [www.rtponm.org](http://www.rtponm.org) website under *Resources*. EPCOG is having its annual Board meeting in June, and members are invited (RSVP).

Louis Najjar thanked Ms. Burr for the attendance report, used in the evaluations. Regarding the bylaws, Ms. Burr explained the revision has been submitted to her liaison, Neala Krueger, who should be

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)  
Minutes of May 19, 2022 Meeting

---

reviewing/commenting on them this week. The intent is to have the bylaws revision on the June agenda. Ms. Burr confirmed that the revision is for the consolidation of the Policy and Technical Committees and added that, per the bylaws, NMDOT concurrence is needed.

**Local Project Updates / NMDOT Updates**

Alan Briley, District 2, commented that the District 2 Engineer's office is attending the State Transportation Commission (STC) meeting this date. The STC will be voting on the LGRF applications so the District will be able to confirm its LGRF allocation. Southeastern New Mexico is very busy, and the District has several road construction projects in progress. Manon Arnett has been hired as the District's local government liaison, and she will be accompanying the District Engineer, Mr. Briley and the ADE for Technical Support when visiting communities and discussing their needs, wants, and funding for accomplishing/completing projects.

Neala Krueger, NMDOT Planning, commented that she will be reviewing the bylaws and will be responding to Ms. Burr this week. Ms. Krueger explained that their office is currently updating cooperative agreements and other documents for the next federal fiscal year, to ensure that the Regional Work Programs (RWPs) are funded and approved. Further, a board approved RWP for FFY 2023-24 is required by July 1<sup>st</sup>. Ms. Krueger announced that NMDOT has posted an opening for a Government-to-Government (GTG) Planning Liaison. Deborah Hudson previously filled this role, and they are hoping to fill the position as soon as possible. The position is posted both on the NMDOT and the State Personnel Office websites.

Libby Coslin, District 2, commented that she has been reviewing Capital Outlay that was awarded for FY 22, and there are quite a few entities that have yet to request disbursement of their five per cent. She urged all with FY22 Capital Outlay to please make sure they are requesting disbursements.

**PUBLIC COMMENT (None)**

**NEXT MEETING DATE**

The next SERTPO meeting date is scheduled for June 29, 2022. This date has been selected for approval of the two-year Regional Work Program/budget due July 1<sup>st</sup>.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Louis Najjar made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Kevin Kennedy seconded the motion. With no objections, motion passed unanimously, and the meeting was adjourned at 12:02 p.m.

**APPROVED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Policy/Technical Committee Chair/Vice Chair

6-29-22  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**ATTESTED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
SERTPO Program Manager

6-29-22  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date