Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)

Minutes of the SERTPO Committee Meeting

February 16, 2023 – 10:00 am

Hybrid Meeting (Virtual/In Person)

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order

Baker, Shelia City of Hobbs City of Clovis Bunch, Clint **Eddy County** Burns, Jason City of Portales Chavez, Steven Village of Capitan Kennedy, Kevin Jarvis, Joe City of Ruidoso Downs Jennings, Dan (Councilor) Town of Hagerman Jones, Walon **Curry County** Lincoln County Honeycutt, Jeffrey

Mescalero Apache Tribe Little, Christopher Lovato, Ricky Roosevelt County Myrick, Van City of Jal City of Roswell Najar, Louis Village of Loving Onsurez, Jackie (Councilor) City of Alamogordo Osborne, Debbie City of Carlsbad Patterson, Jeff Village of Hope Sales, Rudy Village of Ruidoso Serna, Samantha Chaves County West, Joe

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Bradley, Jerry (Mayor) City of Texico Brito, Candy City of Eunice Village of Dora Burkett, Mickey (Mayor) Town of Carrizozo Dean, Ray (Mayor) Garcia, Roman (Mayor) Town of Vaughn Village of Melrose Green, Barry (Mayor) Town of Tatum Gutierrez, Amy (Mayor) Village of Cloudcroft Hall, Jubal Village of Fort Sumner Ingram, Justin Village of Causey King, Kris (Mayor) De Baca County Lucero, Amanda City of Lovington Martinez, Vidal Lea County Needham, Corey Porter, Tom Otero County Powell, Justin Town of Dexter Powell, Leona Village of Grady Village of Tularosa Sainz, Robert (Trustee) Town of Lake Arthur Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor) Village of Corona Seely, Sam (Mayor) Town of Elida Summers, Kim City of Artesia Valverde, Summer Village of Floyd Whitecotton, Toni

COG/NMDOT STAFF PRESENT:

Arnett, Manon NMDOT - Roswell Briley, Alan NMDOT - Roswell

Burr, Mary Ann Southeastern New Mexico Economic Development

District (SNMEDD)

Coslin, Libby NMDOT - Roswell
Griffin, Curtis NMDOT - Roswell
Matta, Louis NMDOT - Roswell
Navarette, Eric NMDOT - Las Cruces
Olds, Shane NMDOT-Roswell

Rodriguez III, Raul Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)

Sanchez, Francisco NMDOT District 2 Engineer

GUESTS PRESENT: *

Allen, Glenda City of Roswell (Alternate)
Avitia, Jesus Souder Miller & Associates
Burroughes, Claire City of Clovis (Alternate)

Carbajal, Sonia Village of Hope

Doss, Russell Souder Miller & Associates (Hobbs)

Flores, Carol Roosevelt County
Lee, Debi SNMEDD Consultant
Martinez, Alonzo Horrocks (Las Cruces)

McAlister, Thomas

Palomino, Alex Souder Miller & Associates (Roswell)

Runyan, Richard Dennis Engineering Sanchez, Daniel Roosevelt County

Sasser, Shanna NM Dept of Finance & Administration

Shields, David Bohannan Huston (Las Cruces)

Zapata, Adriana Chaves County
**Unknown caller and virtual attendee present

CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM (8) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE INTRODUCTIONS

Committee Vice-Chair Jeff Honeycutt opened and called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. Members and guests participated with the Pledge of Allegiance. With nineteen members present, a quorum was established. Introductions were held.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Chairman Jason Burns moved onto the approval of the agenda. Louis Najar made a motion to approve the agenda, as presented. Ricky Lovato seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no objections, the motion passed by unanimous vote.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Kevin Kennedy made a motion to approve the November 29, 2022 minutes as presented. Louis Najar seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no discussion or objections, the motion passed unanimously.

PRESENTATION: NMDOT Permitting

Curtis Griffin, District 2 Traffic Management Analyst Shane Olds, District 2 Traffic Section Permit Agent

Mr. Griffin and Mr. Olds introduced themselves to the members. Additional staff of the Traffic Division were introduced (slide): Jim Skonhovd, Traffic Engineer; James MacCormack, Asst Traffic Engineer; Mike Jones, Traffic Technician; and Barry Galloway, Traffic Technician. Mr. Griffin continued and explained that the Utility permit is the most common. These permits are used by the oil/gas industry and cities for water or any utility in NMDOT Right-of-Way (ROW) that crosses overhead, under, parallel, or in any way enters the NMDOT ROW. The permits are permanent installations and are executed for 25 years with the submission of an as-built plat. Driveway access permits are very common. Individuals may not obtain these permits, however, they should for safety reasons. The Division follows the State Highway Access Management Manual (SAMM) for the rulings on distances and speed. Traffic Control permits, usually issued to contractors with an approved traffic control plan, ensure safety during construction. Any work requiring a traffic control plan requires this permit.

Special events are important during certain times of the year, requiring road closures. These permits should be acquired as the Division has to detour traffic. The Division will assist with the development of a traffic control plan. The Division is trying to set up plans that can be used year to year with the different cities to simplify the process. Landscape permits allow beautification around driveways and businesses, such as plantings and other items along the ROW. State and local rules, setbacks and ADA compliance are considered. While there are some fence permits, they are not as common.

Temporary permits are used mostly by the oil and gas industry. Permits are given for waterlines used for frack jobs, and they usually run along the road, sometimes through an existing covert or some other pipe to get under the road. Removal must occur within ninety (90) days. The most frequent use is for waterline (fresh water). Temporary access is primarily used for site development for a limited time. When work is completed, all must be returned to their original condition—not a permanent driveway.

E-permitting (online permits) is a project being worked on, along with the rest of the state. It is anticipated to affect and benefit fiber optic projects and the oil/gas activity. Permits are anticipated to remain the same, but users will be able to submit permits online. The Division staff will still be available to help. While a timeline has not been established, it should be available within the year. Business cards were made available for contact information.

Alan Briley, NMDOT Roswell, explained that the emphasis on the first couple of slides is in-town development. Major corporations, usually engineers, contact NMDOT and provide a form of development plan beforehand. The Traffic Division engineers (and the presenters) determine locations and the type of preparatory work needed. For some of the smaller developments in-town, the District requests, as part of the local governments' permitting processes, to always include NMDOT Traffic Division if the location is on a state highway (e.g., driveway installations). Except for major corporations and franchises, several entities and such do not realize that they need to come through NMDOT.

Mr. Griffin responded to a question from the audience regarding utility permitting. He also commented that small towns' permitting would go through their office if work is on a state or federal highway. Their office can also assist if the type of highway is in question. Jason Burns inquired if NMDOT has taken steps to expedite the traditional processes with the use of SAMM and traffic impact analyses. He provided examples of the industries, their activity and contact with the County. Mr. Briley responded that the e-permitting is going to simplify permitting as contractors and others will be able to save input and have easy access for the future.

ACTION ITEMS

A. Discussion and Approval of Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Scoring Criteria/Policy and Timeline

The Chairman provided background whereby members requested a review of the TPF process which included member input (survey) and subcommittee review. An overview of the proposed Mission and Vision statements was given. The Chair mentioned that while much detail will be covered during this meeting, there is also going to be a workshop on February 22, 2023. Ms. Burr added that the SNMEDD Executive Director wanted to have training for those entities who have never applied for TPF and to include an overview of transportation programs. The training is being held at 10:00 am in Room 110, at the ENMU-R Campus Union Building. Invites will be sent out, not only to those who have never applied, but to all to reach those who seek a better understanding of the reasoning of the criteria (TPF).

Regarding *Capital* in the criteria, the Chair explained that he has had communications from different entities regarding this criterion. He referred to the section where it reads "one application per funding year for single road, bridge or connecting road intersection termini project, two application limit." He recommended changing the criteria to one application limit and change wording from "one application, per funding year, for single road, bridge, or connecting road intersection termini project" to "one application per funding year per entity, or multiple roads, bridge connecting, or road intersecting termini's." The Chair explained that following discussions, the capital projects really need to be directed. With the amount of funding and the number of applications anticipated, one capital project should be appropriate. The change will help the evaluation process, both in the time and evaluation processes. Following an inquiry, the Chair clarified that *Capital* is for one application for \$4 million per year per entity; *Maintenance* remains as two applications up to \$2 million each; and *Design* is one application per funding year, two applications, \$500k per application. Entities who really need some planning funding can utilize TPF and then find alternate sources of funding for projects.

Jackie Onsurez requested clarification on *Capital*, regarding the one application with a \$4 million cap and whether it can be for multiple infrastructure projects. The Chair recommended entities to apply for similar projects (e.g., apply separately for roads, apply separately for bridges). While the SERTPO would try to grade if a project includes a road and bridge, it is recommended that the projects be similar, to make it easier for District 2 to make a recommendation for a single application. The Chair reminded all that *Capital* is defined as an improvement to the road. If designs are ready, the plans can be submitted, and the application will be evaluated as presented.

Louis Najar stated that while he is not in disagreement, he urged caution if an entity is including multiple routes on a capital project and provided examples. The Chair also added that during the subcommittee meeting, point values were increased. Last year there was a total of 35 points, and it was changed to 120 points [Capital]. Points were increased so SERTPO could grade better, have more of a spread, make a recommendation, interpolate, and get an accurate score of conditions and designs.

Francisco Sanchez, District 2 Engineer, spoke to the criteria, how it is broken out, and impact on the formula (i.e., if the formula still works). Multiple roads projects, especially for municipalities, may lead to a hybrid. The District Engineer provided examples of different types of improvements, including surfacing. He cautioned members on multiple routes as scopes of work for capital is not going to fit into one box.

The Chair expressed that when SERTPO meets for the presentations and grading, hopefully a newly appointed Commissioner (STC) and District 2 Engineer will be attending. Presentations will be done for all applications, including maintenance. Time limits are anticipated and will be shared with members. Clarification was requested for capital projects. Members were urged to refer to page 1 of the TPF scoring criteria. The Chair responded that it reads "one application per funding year for multiple

road termini, bridge or connecting road projects. One application limit." The Chair went on to point out that the attachment with the formula was shared with members and gave an overview. He described the rankings from the previous year and explained that for this year, the top three capital projects within the allotted funding will be sent up as 1, 2 and 3. Multiple fours and fives for maintenance will be recommended, based on those who received funding recently and those who have not received funding, based on discussions of the two-year limit on contracts. Design projects will be prioritized as 6, 7 and 8. Remaining maintenance will then follow design, and remaining design to follow maintenance. Applications that are not included in the top recommended rankings will not be rejected. All applications that meet the State criteria will be submitted.

An inquiry was made on the scoring process. The Chair reviewed the timeline, addressing when PFFs are due (3/13/23); the District 2 review period (3/14/23 through 3/27/23); and the applications packages deadline (4/17/23). A self-scored scoring sheet with each application is recommended for member review and consideration during the rating. The intent is to have a very clear and concise scoring process. Applicants should be able to objectively score their projects, using the criteria, and anticipate what the score will be. The Chair continued with the timeline, with the meeting packet deadline (4/28/23) and meeting date for evaluations and presentations (5/4/23). It is anticipated to be a daylong meeting. Raul Rodriguez III made an inquiry into time limits for the presentations. A precise time limit can be determined once the number of applications to be received is known. The Chair described the prior year's process of forty-four applications with no presentations for maintenance as all were given top points. He provided an estimate of five to eight minutes per presentation. Mr. Najar commented that with self-scoring, the evaluation would continue as a group; questions may be asked of the applicant for clarification; and discussion can be held on scoring, whether higher or lower. The process would resemble one held in earlier years for the Roadway program. He commented that five minutes should be ample time. There will be a presentation for every application for the District Engineer, the Committee and hopefully the newly appointed Commissioner.

Mr. Najar requested members to put forward any comments before a motion is made. Samantha Serna requested that the rating meeting be held the following week due to zoning training on May 4-6, 2023. Following light discussion, the meeting date was changed to May 8, 2023. Louis Najar made a recommendation of moving the date for application packages due from May 17th to May 19th due to the TransCon conference held that week, to which there was concurrence. Ms. Burr commented that there had been an inquiry into when to submit information for the *Application Verification* as listed on the criteria. The Chair responded that the verification is intended to be submitted with the application. It is used to verify and justify an applicant's requested score. The Chair called for any additional recommendations or revisions. With there being none, Louis Najar made a motion to approve the TPF scoring criteria and application timeline process with the amendments of one application on Capital as presented by Chairman Burns, timeline change of April 19th as the application package due date and the next SERTPO meeting date for rating applications as May 8, 2023. Jeff Honeycutt seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken, and with there being no discussion or objections, the motion passed unanimously.

B. Resolution No. 23-001 Approving Public Meeting Notice Requirements

With there being no discussion or questions regarding the resolution, Louis Najar made a motion to approve Resolution No. 23-001 Approving Public Meeting Notice Requirements as presented. Kevin Kennedy seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken with no objections. Motion passed unanimously.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

SERTPO Program Managers Update

Mary Ann Burr announced new members, Jackie Lee Onsurez, Councilor, Village of Loving; Jon Crunk, Commissioner, Lincoln County; and Glenda Allen (Alternate), City of Roswell.

Regarding the TAP Program, Ms. Burr informed members that three applications (i.e., PFFs) were received and feasibility reviews have been conducted. Staff are currently working with application packets, received by the deadline of February 15, 2023. One application was received from Portales, and two applications were received from Mescalero. Staff will continue to work application packets and turn them in by the March 10, 2023 due date.

Members were reminded of the training for next week. Ms. Burr explained that originally the training targeted those entities that have never applied for TPF, but the invitation is now extended to everyone. Transportation Day is scheduled at the Legislature on March 9, 2023. ADA training is also scheduled that same week on March 7-9, 2023. Jessica Best, NMDOT District 2, added the third day of training with the first two days quickly filled.

Regarding Plan activity, Dexter's Comprehensive Plan is coming to completion by the month of April. Hope's Comprehensive Plan is in the process of selecting its Planner. Hagerman's Asset Management Plan currently has their Request for Proposals advertised.

Handouts regarding the NMDOT Annual Public Entity Sale and Annual Hardship Sale were made available on the sign-in table, as well as handouts of the draft TPF Timeline and NMDOT TPF Call-for-Projects.

Raul Rodriguez III spoke to the outreach conducted to municipalities in his region. He is anticipating membership confirmations or has received confirmations from the Village of House (Tomi Miller, Village Clerk); Village of Melrose (Meadow Forget, Mayor) and Village of Causey (Janet Caviness, Councilwoman). Mr. Rodriguez attended the EPCOG Board meeting on March 8th, at which time he updated members with transportation activity, to include the TAP/RTP/CRP applications and the TPF Call underway.

Local Project Updates / NMDOT Updates

Alan Briley, District 2, announced his retirement at the end of April. He has forty years in public and civil service. Mr. Briley also spoke about the upcoming ADA training, which has had a good response from local communities. Currently, the District has over \$200 million in construction activity. Regarding the US 285 corridor, there are four projects, from the state line through Loving at approximately \$110-\$120 million. He informed members that in his nearly eight years, District 2 has received statewide-projects-of-the-year on four occasions, which is a good percentage. District 2 does quality work and compliance. He anticipates seeing members at future SERTPO Committee meetings.

Regarding Local Government Road Fund (LGRF), Louis Matta, District 2, commented on their Call-for-Projects with a deadline approaching on March 15, 2023. Staff are currently updating their LGRF Power-Point and are hoping to have it ready for the training for next week. Copies of the District 2 Permitting presentation will be shared with members, and it was announced that Ms. Burr has a new email address. Inquiry was made regarding Cradle-to-Grave training. Louis Matta explained that a Power-Point had been in use due to the pandemic. Mr. Matta indicated that if anyone still needs assistance, they can arrange a one-on-one meeting with the entity. An inquiry was made into LGRF funding levels, to which Mr. Matta responded the funding levels have remained flat. The County Arterial program is by formula, and final numbers will not be known until after the legislature. A new LGRF handbook is coming out and will be on the NMDOT website. When the new handbook is released, District 2 will send out notifications.

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO) Minutes of February 16, 2023 Meeting

District 2 Engineer Francisco Sanchez commended all members for their efforts in the TPF criteria and evaluation process. He is familiar with other Districts' activities, and he believes SERTPO has the best structure being put forth to be equitable to all communities in southeastern New Mexico. He added that new Cabinet Secretary Ricky Serna is an advocate for local entities. The District continues to voice the benefits of TPF as it is one of the best programs they have. They continue to see a level of funding for TPF. He thanked everyone for their support.

NEXT MEETING DATE

Chairman Burns described a new planned physical layout for future meetings, with meetings continuing in hybrid format. Louis Najar offered information to members regarding proposed changes for the use of the meeting facility by public entities. The next meeting date is May 8, 2023.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Louis Najar for adjournment. Motion was seconded by Jeff Honeycutt. A call for votes was taken. With there being no objections, the motion passed, and the meeting adjourned at 11:03 a.m.

APPROVED BY:

SERTPO Committee Chair/Vice Chair

ATTESTED BY:

May be bure

SER VPO Program Manager

Date

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)

Minutes of the SERTPO Committee Meeting

May 8, 2023 – 8:30 am

Hybrid Meeting (Virtual/In Person)

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order

Aldridge, Stephen (Mayor) City of Jal Austin, Sarah City of Portales Bullington, Kathy City of Texico Bunch, Clint City of Clovis **Eddy County** Burns, Jason Daubert, Mitch (Mayor) Town of Dexter Dean, Ray (Mayor) Town of Carrizozo Denney, Bill (Mayor) Village of Cloudcroft Forget, Meadow (Mayor) Village of Melrose Gallegos, Louie (Mayor) Village of Fort Sumner Garcia, Roman (Mayor) Town of Vaughn Town of Tatum Gutierrez, Amy (Mayor) Kennedy, Kevin Village of Capitan City of Ruidoso Downs Jarvis, Joe Jennings, Dan (Councilor) Town of Hagerman **Curry County** Jones, Walon Honeycutt, Jeffrey Lincoln County

Little, Christopher Mescalero Apache Tribe Lovato, Ricky Roosevelt County Najar, Louis City of Roswell Needham, Corey Lea County Onsurez, Jackie (Councilor) Village of Loving Osborne, Debbie City of Alamogordo City of Carlsbad Patterson, Jeff Randall, Todd City of Hobbs Roberts, Howard (Mayor) City of Lovington Sales, Rudy Village of Hope Sallee, Debra Town of Lake Arthur Serna, Samantha Village of Ruidoso West, Joe **Chaves County**

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Brito, Candy City of Eunice Burkett, Mickey (Mayor) Village of Dora King, Kris (Mayor) Village of Causey Porter, Tom Otero County Powell, Leona Village of Grady Sainz, Robert (Trustee) Village of Tularosa Seely, Sam (Mayor) Village of Corona Summers, Kim Town of Elida Valverde, Summer City of Artesia De Baca County West, Bill (Commissioner) Whitecotton, Toni Village of Floyd

COG/NMDOT STAFF PRESENT:

Arnett, Manon NMDOT - Roswell

Burr, Mary Ann Southeastern New Mexico Economic Development

District (SNMEDD)

Coslin, Libby NMDOT – Roswell
Dodge, George NMDOT – Santa Fe
Gallardo, Judith NMDOT – Las Cruces
Matta, Louis NMDOT – Roswell
Navarette, Eric NMDOT – Las Cruces

Rodriguez III, Raul Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)

Sanchez, Francisco NMDOT District 2 Engineer

GUESTS PRESENT: *

Allen, Glenda City of Roswell (Alternate) Avitia, Jesus Souder Miller & Associates

Beshaler, Nancy City of Alamogordo Carbajal, Sonia Village of Hope Darrow, Megan City of Clovis Fernandez, Fatima Village of Loving Flores, Carol Roosevelt County Fresquez, Ray Stantec (Roswell) Fulkrod, Brian Village of Melrose Garcia, Yolanda Town of Vaughn

Garza, Manuel Village of Loving (Alternate)

Henry, Anthony City of Hobbs

Lee, Debi SNMEDD Consultant Martinez, Alonzo Horrocks (Las Cruces)

Martinez, Ashley Wilson & Co., Inc. (Albuquerque)

Miller, Verlyn Miller Engineering Consultants (Albuquerque)

Palomino, Alex Souder Miller & Associates (Roswell)

Runyan, Richard Dennis Engineering (Socorro)
Sanchez, Adam Village of Ruidoso (Alternate)

Sanchez, Daniel Roosevelt County

S-Hernandez, Cynthia Souder Miller & Associates (Las Cruces)

Vega, Vyanca Village of Cloudcroft Wall, Jamie Village of Fort Sumner *Unidentified callers and virtual attendee present

CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM (8) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Introductions

Chairman Jason Burns opened and called the meeting to order at 8:36 a.m. Members and guests participated with the Pledge of Allegiance. With thirty members present, a quorum was established. Introductions were held.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Chairman Jason Burns attended to the approval of the agenda. Ricky Lovato made a motion to approve the agenda, as presented. Louis Najar seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no objections, the motion passed by unanimous vote.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Jeff Honeycutt made a motion to approve the February 16, 2023 minutes as presented. Walon Jones seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no discussion or objections, the motion passed unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS

A. Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Review, Discussion and Possible action due to Funding Limitations and/or Possible Action on TPF Evaluation & Prioritization Process

The Chairman requested any comments from members before the rating of project applications started. Following light discussion, to include adherence to the rules and protocol, it was determined that due to a misstatement during the February training, project applications under both categories of capital and design would be permitted.

B. Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Presentations and Scoring Evaluations

The Chairman reminded all of the seven-minute time limits for capital, with one minute warning reminders. He encouraged presenters to give an overview, to be followed by questions from the group.

CAPITAL Presentations / Scoring Evaluations

1. <u>Capitan</u> – Tiger Drive Improvements

Presenter: Kevin Kennedy, Emergency/Project Manager

Mr. Kennedy provided an overview of the project via PowerPoint presentation. He explained how the road is used, displayed photos of the alligator cracking on the road, and answered any questions.

Scoring: Points given, 104

2. <u>Clovis</u> - Purdue Ave Storm Drainage Improvements

Presenters: Clint Bunch, Public Works Director

Megan Darrow, Marketing, Communication & Grant Coordinator

Mr. Bunch spoke to the capital project application using PowerPoint presentation. Photos of the drainage issues were provided, and Mr. Bunch responded to questions from the group.

Scoring: Points given, 82

3. Fort Sumner -2^{nd} and 3^{rd} Street Drainage Improvements

Presenters: Jamie Wall, City Clerk

Louie Gallegos, Mayor

Ms. Wall gave an overview of the Village's project, which included aerial mapping and photos showing the condition of the road. All questions were answered.

Scoring: Points given, 70.

4. **Jal** – 2023 Roadway Improvements

Presenters: Stephen Aldridge, Mayor

Ray Fresquez, Stantec

Mayor Aldridge provided an overview of their project, via PowerPoint, and Mr. Fresquez, Stantec engineering consultant, assisted with responding to questions from members.

Scoring: Points given, 84.

5. **Portales** – South Ave K Improvements

Presenter: Sarah Austin, City Manager

Scoring: Points given, 82.

Ms. Austin described the location of the project, which includes construction, ADA improvements, sidewalk improvements and pedestrian access. Funding amount requested was covered. The City Manager clarified that the design is now 100% complete, and they are ready to start the bid process. Ms. Austin answered questions from members.

6. **Roswell** – Hobbs St Mill/Fill-Sidewalk/ADA

Presenter: Louis Najar, City Engineer

Mr. Najar presented the capital project and answered any questions from members.

Scoring: Points given, 88.

7. Ruidoso Downs – All American Park/Senior Citizen Center Parking Lot

Presenter: Joey Jarvis, Public Works Director

Presenting virtually, Mr. Jarvis provided an overview of the Parking lot project and was available for any questions.

Scoring: Points given, 56.

DESIGN Presentations / Scoring Evaluations

1. <u>Carrizozo</u> – 2024 Various Roadway Design

Presenter: Ray Dean, Mayor

Ray Fresquez, Stantec

Mayor Dean presented Carrizozo's project, via PowerPoint, displaying mapping of the community, photos, and the funding request. He responded to questions. An ADA transition plan was recommended.

Scoring: Points given, 77.

2. Cloudcroft – 2023 Design for Priority 1 and 2 Roadway Improvements

Presenters: Vyanca Vega, Project Coordinator

William Denney, Mayor

A PowerPoint presentation was made, to include mapping of the multiple streets included in the application. Ms. Vega answered questions.

Scoring: Points given, 72.

3. Clovis – Llano Estacado Roadway Design

Presenters: Clint Bunch, Public Works Director

Megan Darrow, Marketing, Communication & Grant Coordinator

Presentation of the project was made, aided by a PowerPoint. Presenters answered questions from the group.

Scoring: Points given, 70.

4. Clovis – 21st Street Design

Presenters: Clint Bunch, Public Works Director

Megan Darrow, Marketing, Communication & Grant Coordinator

PowerPoint presentation was given, and the presenters responded to questions from members.

Scoring: Points given, 70.

5. **Dexter** – Lake Van Drive Planning and Design

Presenter: Mitch Daubert, Mayor

Mayor Daubert presented and spoke to slides showing the Lake Van project area, desired types of improvements and funding request.

Scoring: Points given, 65.

6. **Fort Sumner** - 2nd and 3rd Street Drainage Improvements

Presenters: Jamie Wall, City Clerk

Louie Gallegos, Mayor

PowerPoint presentation was displayed (presented earlier in Capital). Presenters responded to questions.

Scoring: Points given, 84.

7. Hobbs – SR 132 – Dal Paso Design

Presenter: Todd Randall, City Engineer

The City Engineer gave a quick overview of the project and amended the self-scoring sheet.

Scoring: Points given, 72.

8. **Loving** – 6th Street Roadway & Improvements

Presenters: Fatima Hernandez, Deputy Clerk

Manuel Garza, City Clerk

Ms. Hernandez spoke to the desired improvements for the design application and pointed out the condition of roadways in addition to requested funding. She answered questions from members.

Scoring: Points given, 70.

9. **Mescalero** – Project No. 2 Combined Roadways (5.7 Miles) Design

Presenters: Christopher Little, Public Works Director

Alex Palomino, Souder, Miller and Associates

Mr. Little discussed the project, which is being resubmitted as it was not funded last year. Mr. Palomino spoke about the benefits of combining smaller roads into a larger network, to attract contractors; conditions of the roads (illustrated with photos); and desired improvements. Discussion was held on partnerships.

Scoring: Points given, 77.

10. **Ruidoso** - US 70/NM 48 Intersection & NM 48 Corridor Reconstruction Design

Presenter: Samantha Serna, Community Development Director

Ms. Serna spoke to a feasibility study completed earlier for this project. The Village's focus is on safety and revitalization. An aerial map displayed the project area of the corridor and intersection. She responded to questions, including the phasing of the project.

Scoring: Points given, 77.

11. **Tatum** – 2024 Various Roadway Design

Presenter: Amy Gutierrez, Mayor

Ray Fresquez, Stantec

The Mayor spoke to the needs of smaller communities and the Town's goal to improve the standard of living. She continued by speaking to the highlighted roads in residential areas on the displayed mapping, pointing out their 40% dirt roads within town limits. Mr. Fresquez answered questions on scope of work regarding proposed improvements.

Scoring: Points given, 70.

12. **Vaughn** – Street Improvements Design

Presenters: Roman Garcia, Mayor

Yolanda Garcia, Town Clerk

The Mayor spoke to the status of their current TPF project. Regarding the new application, Ms. Garcia spoke to the local match, project area mapping, nearby public facilities, and desired improvements for the design. The Mayor continued by speaking to the current conditions (with photos) and connections to US 54, NM 35, and US 70. He emphasized the proposed streets serve school buses and school-related traffic. Presenters answered questions pertaining to scoring criteria.

Scoring: Points given, 60.

MAINTENANCE Presentations / Scoring Evaluations

For expediency, the Chair requested applicants to speak about key points, to include what is to be accomplished with the project, spent money, the amount being requested and the method for procurement.

1. **Alamogordo** – 10th Street Concrete Box Culvert (CBC) Repair

Presenter: Debbie Osborne, Grant Coordinator

Ms. Osborne described the CBC and sidewalks requiring repair due to differential settlement and deterioration. Mapping showing its location on a busy intersection and photos of damage were displayed. Ms. Osborne shared recommendations from their recently completed Engineering Report and Geotechnical Report with members. Updated cost estimates were provided. The City, if awarded, anticipates going out to bid from thirty to sixty days.

Scoring: Points given, 40.

2. Chaves County – Pine Lodge/Red Bridge Project

Joe West, Road Operations Director, answered questions as the group reviewed the scoring sheet.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

3. **Clovis** – Commerce Way Maintenance

Clint Bunch, Public Works Director, responded to questions pertaining to the scoring sheet.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

4. <u>Clovis</u> – 21st Street Maintenance

Clint Bunch, Public Works Director, answered all questions from members pertaining to scoring.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

5. <u>Curry County</u> – Maintenance Curry Roads

Walon Jones, Road Superintendent, was available for questions on scoring.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

6. Eddy County – N. 13th Road Maintenance (Application withdrawn)

7. **Hagerman** – Various Street Rehabilitation & Maintenance

Dan Jennings, Councilor, provided the requested information to members for the rating.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

8. **Hobbs** – S. Grimes Street Maintenance

Todd Randall, City Engineer, spoke to the mill-and-fill project and answered the rating questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

9. **Hobbs** – W Millen Drive Maintenance

Todd Randall, City Engineer, described the maintenance project and answered all questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

10. **Lincoln County** – Lincoln County Road Maintenance

Jeff Honeycutt described his chip-seal/micro-surfacing project and answered rating questions from members.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

11. **Lake Arthur** – Various Street Rehabilitation & Maintenance

On behalf of Lake Arthur, Ray Fresquez, Stantec, spoke to the proposed work and streets included. He answered all rating questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

12. **Loving** – Various Roads Maintenance Program

Jackie Onsurez, Councilor, described Loving's maintenance project and answered rating questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

13. **Melrose** – Various Roads Maintenance Program

Brian Fulkrod, Road Superintendent, and Meadow Forget, Mayor, spoke to Melrose's project and answered rating questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

14. **Roosevelt County** – Road Rehabilitation Project No. 1

Ricky Lovato, Road Superintendent, spoke to the proposed project and previous TPF project. He answered all rating questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

15. **Roosevelt County** – Road Rehabilitation Project No. 2

Ricky Lovato, Road Superintendent, answered all rating questions from members.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

Regarding Maintenance project applications, Ms. Burr stated that while inserting amounts into the draft Recommended Transportation Improvements Recommendations (RTIPR), two project applications exceeded the \$2 million limit. It was determined that both applications should be reduced to the \$2 million limit.

16. **Roswell** – 2nd St (US 380) Pavement Maintenance

Louis Najar, City Engineer, explained the proposed project(s) would utilize a statewide pricing agreement and described the types of improvements for the project area.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

17. **Roswell** – S. Atkinson Ave Pavement Maintenance

Louis Najar, City Engineer, spoke to the procurement method and described improvements.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

18. **Ruidoso** – Roadway Maintenance Project 1

Samantha Mendez, Community Development Director, explained that the mill and fill application is for Meander Drive, Cree Meadows Drive and White Mountain Drive. She added that the Village would be using the Cutler repaying statewide price agreement.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

19. **Ruidoso** – Roadway Maintenance Project 2

Samantha Mendez, Community Development Director, informed members of the four roads for this project, the length, and planned use of the Cutler repaving statewide pricing agreement.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

20. Ruidoso Downs - Reservoir Drive Improvements

Joey Jarvis, Public Works Director, offered that the project is mill and fill with possibly some base course compaction. He also spoke to the funding amount requested and local match.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

Ruidoso Downs - 2023 Road Improvements

Joey Jarvis explained that the proposed project is for multiple streets, and a double chip-seal will be used. The funding amount requested was provided. Further, Mr. Jarvis pointed out that the City has not received any previous TPF funding, and statewide pricing agreements will be used.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

Tatum - Various Street Rehabilitation and Maintenance 22.

Mayor Amy Gutierrez spoke to their maintenance project and answered rating questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

23.

Texico – Various Roads Maintenance Program

Katha Bullington 96 4-7-23

Connie Harrison, Councilor, offered information on Texico's maintenance project. She answered the rating questions.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

Vaughn – Street Improvements

Yolanda Garcia, Village Clerk, supplied the requested information, to include the project is chipseal, and statewide pricing agreements will be used.

Scoring: Points given, 50.

Following the scoring process, the Chair inquired if there were any further comments/questions on the rating, to which there were none.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

SERTPO Program Managers Update

Ms. Burr informed members that the draft RTIPR has been prepared, lacking the points/ranking. Once the RTIPR is completed, in coordination with the Chairs, it will be shared and later uploaded with the applications to NMDOT. If a document is missing (e.g., a map), she urged members to get it turned in.

Ms. Burr gave an update on the statuses of the CDBG Comprehensive and Asset Management Plans. She further explained that no additional calls for the federal programs are anticipated throughout the summer (for the purposes of setting the next meeting date). The New Mexico Counties Annual Conference is June 12-16, 2023 in Farmington; and ICIP virtual trainings are currently being held. With summertime approaching, summer events/festivals within the region have been posted to the website (examples given), Members were urged to forward the information for any such tourism events in their communities.

Raul Rodriguez III, EPCOG, commented that their FY24 Regional Work Program report for the second quarter has been submitted. There is a Safe Streets Call-for-Projects out and the ADA/Title VI Compliance list is due by September 18, 2023. Notices of Funding Opportunities that are active include Wildlife Crossings and the PROTECT discretionary grants (which includes flooding, not usually available through

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO) Minutes of May 8, 2023 Meeting

programs and NOFOs). A call for projects for EV charging infrastructure projects is currently active. NMDOT is going to be assisting with grant writing, administration, and match for EV grant applications. EPCOG is willing to assist with EV grant writing for their three counties in SERTPO. The EPCOG Annual Board meeting is soon. There are Open Meetings Act webinars that are available for those interested.

Local Project Updates / NMDOT Updates

Francisco Sanchez, District 2 Engineer, expressed appreciation for all the presentations and hard work. George Dodge, NMDOT Santa Fe, explained that he is able to see the various TPF processes all over the state, and there are different processes and ways. Regarding funding levels, \$51 million came from the Legislature and the Secretary (NMDOT) added another \$15 million, which comes to the figure of \$66 million. It is possible with motor excise tax activity in the next year, the amount may be increased to \$70-\$72 million.

Discussion was held on meeting formats, with virtual attendance for listening allowable but not allowed for those applying for significant funding. Rotation of meetings was reintroduced, and a member commented on his preference for Roswell.

NEXT MEETING DATE

The next meeting date was scheduled for September 8, 2023 in Carlsbad, with location to be determined. A virtual option will be available, but not for participation. Louis Najar commented that the Roswell Convention Center is free for local governmental activities such as District meetings, etc. There will be an ICIP meeting at the Convention Center in October.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Amy Gutierrez, Mayor of Tatum, expressed appreciation to SERTPO. She expressed that she felt the scoring was very fair, and the small communities are not forgotten.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made by Louis Najar for adjournment. Motion was seconded by Jeff Honeycutt. A call for votes was taken. With there being no objections, the motion passed, and the meeting adjourned at 12:38 p.m.

| APPROVED BY: SERTPO Committee Chair/Vice Chair ATTESTED BY: | 9- 7-23 Date | |
|---|---------------------|---|
| May Ann Burs SERT PO Program Manager | 9-1-23 Date | _ |

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)

Minutes of the **SERTPO Committee Meeting**

September 7, 2023 - 10:00 am

In-Person Meeting

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order

City of Lovington Ball, Crystal City of Eunice Brito, Candy Village of Tularosa Bryant, Rebekah **Eddy County** Burns, Jason

City of Ruidoso Downs Chavez, Israel

City of Texico Harrison, Connie Village of Capitan Kennedy, Kevin City of Portales McVey, Jo City of Roswell Najar, Louis City of Carlsbad Patterson, Jeff Village of Ruidoso Sanchez, Adam Roosevelt County Sanchez, Daniel Village of Cloudcroft Vega, Vyanca

MEMBERS ABSENT:

City of Clovis Bunch, Clint Village of Dora Burkett, Mickey (Mayor) Lincoln County Crunk, Jon (Commissioner) Town of Carrizozo Dean, Ray (Mayor) Village of Melrose Forget, Meadow (Mayor) Village of Fort Sumner Gallegos, Louie (Mayor) Town of Vaughn Garcia, Roman (Mayor) Gutierrez, Amy (Mayor) Town of Tatum Town of Hagerman Jennings, Dan (Councilor) Village of Causey King, Kris (Mayor) Leatherwood, Dusty (Commissioner) **Curry County**

Mescalero Apache Tribe Little, Christopher

City of Jal Myrick, Van Lea County Needham, Corey Village of Loving Onsurez, Jackie (Councilor) Otero County Porter, Tom Town of Dexter Powell, Justin Village of Grady Powell, Leona City of Alamogordo Rael, Stella City of Hobbs

Randall, Todd Town of Lake Arthur Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor) Village of Hope Sales, Rudy

Village of Corona Seely, Sam (Mayor) Town of Elida Summers, Kim City of Artesia Valverde, Summer De Baca County West, Bill (Commissioner)

Chaves County West, Joe

Village of Floyd Whitecotton, Toni

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO) Minutes of September 7, 2023 Meeting

COG/NMDOT STAFF PRESENT:

Arnett, Manon NMDOT – Roswell Benavides, Isabel NMDOT – Santa Fe

Burr, Mary Ann

Southeastern New Mexico Economic
Development District (SNMEDD)

Lujan, Jason NMDOT – Santa Fe Matta, Louis NMDOT – Roswell

Sanchez, Francisco NMDOT District 2 Engineer

Soule, Vincent Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)
Surina, Julie Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)

GUESTS PRESENT:

Eddy County Archer, Hazer Village of Capitan Cavazos, Al City of Portales Duran, Mingo City of Eunice Cummins, Shannon Village of Ruidoso Martinez, Michael City of Roswell MacCornack, James City of Lovington Mijares, Kevin City of Texico Peacock, Missy City of Eunice Ruvalcaba, Imelda

CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM (11) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE INTRODUCTIONS

Chairman Jason Burns opened and called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. With thirteen members present, a quorum was established. Members and guests participated with the Pledge of Allegiance. Introductions were held.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Jeff Patterson made a motion to approve the agenda, as presented. Louis Najar seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no objections, the motion passed by unanimous vote.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Kevin Kennedy made a motion to approve the May 8, 2023 minutes as presented. Louis Najar seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no discussion or objections, the motion passed unanimously.

PRESENTATION: ADA Transition Plans, ADA Policy Statements, & Title VI Plans

Isabel Benavidez, ADA/Title VI Program Coordinator New Mexico Department of Transportation

Ms. Benavidez introduced herself and Mr. Jason Lujan, ADA/Title VI Program Support, and explained that their Department is looking for three updated documents from entities for future submissions. Ms. Benavidez' presentation covers the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), compliance obligations for local public agencies, ADA Transition Plans, the ADA Policy Statement, Title VI, and prohibited discrimination under Title VI.

The ADA is a civil rights law that prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in all areas open to the public and became law in 1990. The law ensures that people with disabilities have the same rights and opportunities as everyone else. ADA regulations are broken into five separate titles: Title I – Employment; Title II - Public Services; Title III - Public Accommodations; Title IV – Telecommunications; and Title V – Miscellaneous. Title II applies to state and local governments and outlines the administrative processes to be followed, including requirements for self-evaluations; planning; requirements for making reasonable modifications to policies, practices, and procedures necessary to avoid discrimination; architectural barriers to be identified; and the need for effective communication with people with hearing, vision, and speech disabilities. Title II is regulated and enforced by the US Department of Justice (DOJ). 28 CFR Part 35 lists the requirements to ensure nondiscrimination in services.

If the state or local government has less than 50 employees, they need to submit a certification letter and an ADA policy statement. A complaint process is not required but strongly recommended. If a state or local government has 50 or more employees, they need to designate an employee as the ADA Coordinator. Further, the governmental entity needs to have an ADA transition plan, an ADA policy statement, and a complaint process. There is an exception for tribal entities because federal law recognizes tribal sovereignty.

When applications are received for funding, they are evaluated to determine that ADA is being considered. Lack of compliance may result in being ineligible for projects. Entities must comply with federal law. One of the best reasons to comply is to avoid lawsuits. DOJ has zero tolerance. Compliance prohibits public entities from isolating, separating, or denying people with disabilities the opportunities to participate in the programs or services offered. At some point, disabilities affect us all, either personally or people we know.

For entities with less than 50 employees and are not required to have an ADA transition plan, they must still comply with ADA. A transition plan must include the necessary steps to ensure its facilities and programs are accessible to persons with disabilities. Transition plans need to include identification of physical obstacles in its owned facilities, and the non-compliant critical facilities must be prioritized. Plans need to have a description of methods used to make those facilities accessible; must specify the schedule for taking any necessary steps to upgrade pedestrian access; and must be updated on a yearly basis. The designated individual responsible for the implementation and maintenance of the plan should be included and should be provide all contact information for them. It is vital to update that information whenever it is changed. Plans should then be integrated into the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

The purpose of the ADA policy statement is so information can be made available to the public of the protections against discrimination in services, programs, or activities. It has traditionally been interpreted as a stand-alone document. Local public agencies (LPAs) need to have a compliance policy in place and must include instructions on how the public can submit a complaint, request a modification, file a grievance and have contact information to the responsible employee.

Title VI is covered by two civil rights acts and two executive orders. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin in any program or activity that receives federal funds or other federal financial assistance. The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 specifies that entities receiving federal funds must comply with civil rights legislation in all operations, not just in the program or activity that received the funding. The first Executive Order is on Environmental Justice (EJ), which requires each federal agency achieving EJ part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States. The second Executive Order deals with Limited English Proficiency (LEP) and requires Federal agencies to examine the services they provide, identify any need for services to those with LEP, and develop and implement a system to provide those services so that LEP persons can have meaningful access to them. Title VI ensures that protected groups have meaningful access to programs and activities.

Protected groups are individuals who are underserved and/or underrepresented. Examples of protected groups were listed/displayed. LPAs who receive federal funds from NMDOT are referred to as subrecipients. Subrecipients who receive federal funding are required to establish a Title VI program. Ms. Benavidez displayed the essential elements of a Title VI Plan, via PowerPoint, which include a Nondiscrimination Policy Statement; Title VI assurances; Title VI Coordinator (with contact information); monitoring and review process; Notice of Rights under Title VI; complaint procedures; investigation and tracking; data collection and analysis; public participation; LEP and EJ.

Ms. Benavidez listed the activities that accomplish Title VI compliance. Those activities include the development of policies and procedures to ensure non-discrimination practices and monitoring for compliance; having a complaint process; compliance assurances; training; community outreach and education; data collection; and documentation. She added that proactive and reactive measures must be defined and provided examples. Further, Title VI consideration must be included in all phases of the project, from planning to construction. The Program Coordinator discussed what is prohibited discrimination under Title VI and explained disparate treatment, retaliation, and disparate impact.

For compliance and enforcement, recipients/subrecipients are responsible for ensuring equal treatment, access, rights, and opportunities. Tools to help enforce compliance include agency self-monitoring; on-site reviews; assurances; demographic data collection to engage diverse populations; and awareness. The goal is to prevent discrimination before it starts. Strategies should include following best practices, which were explained. It needs to be ensured that any data collected is proper, current, and accurate. Proper public involvement helps in assisting with the decision-making process for programs or projects. It is helpful to create a trusting and respectful atmosphere; empower the community; and provide a two-way line of communication. The ADA Coordinator explained that their Department will conduct periodic reviews and evaluation periodically so entities should make sure they document their efforts appropriately. Employees and subrecipients should attend regular ADA/Title VI training. A link to the FHWA website was provided. Copies of the PowerPoint slides will be provided to members.

The plan submissions are due September 18, 2023. Submissions can be emailed to their office via e-mail. Ms. Benavidez recognized that there has been a lot of staff turnover, and entities having any difficulties are encouraged to call her for discussion. If there have not been any communications or plans on hand by the deadline, entities will not be eligible for federal funding going forward until the plans are updated and submitted.

A member inquired on whether the submission requirements were for both types of entities (under 50 employees, over 50 employees) to which the response was affirmative. For entities with less than 50 employees, a certification letter is needed. For entities with more than 50 employees, an ADA transition plan is required. Additionally, an ADA policy statement and Title VI Plan are required. Additional inquiry requested clarification on federal funding only (e.g., FEMA, FHWA, RTP program, federal bids, etc.). It does not apply to state funding. Ms. Benavidez explained that tracking for compliance from their Department occurs when federal funding is received. A list of entities who are current is distributed periodically from the Department to show statuses. An updated list past the September 18th deadline is anticipated from NMDOT. An inquiry was made on the document review on content being an annual requirement, considering staff changes. Ms. Benavidez responded yes. She explained that all these programs should be running, whether someone is in that position or not. If the public has a complaint, they should be able to reach someone to file the complaint and assist with the process. The Coordinator explained that what they discovered when they started the update in 2017, staff are gone, and phone numbers/emails do not work. The original intent in 2017 was for the Department to conduct formal reviews. Ms. Benavidez and Mr. Lujan will be reviewing all plans, making sure they have the essential elements. The plans are living documents and anytime there are employee changes, the plans should be updated consistently. Once the Department's program is underway, they should be requesting plans on a yearly basis, and they should be current and up to date.

The Chair offered that it is also a NMDOT requirement, for a state-funded *capital* project, to follow the ADA plan and bring it up to ADA code. For maintenance, one is just taking it back and not ripping it out and replacing like with capital projects.

ACTION ITEMS

Resolution No. 23-002 Approving the SNMEDD/COG and EPCOG FFY 23/24 RWP Formal Amendments

Ms. Burr reminded members that the COGs have to periodically amend their Regional Work Program (RWP) when there is a change to a line item (budget or hours) over 20%. Such proposed changes come in the form of a formal amendment that requires SERTPO approval. The RTPO staff must submit proposed changes to their Liaison by a deadline (August 1st), per the Planning manual, and then present the Liaison-approved amendment to the Committee.

The formal amendments were provided in the meeting packets. Regarding budgeted hours, Ms. Burr explained that line items were reduced to accommodate increases in budgeted hours in specific categories. The increases in hours dealt with increased Transportation Project Fund (TPF) activity and additional time spent on the TPF training (SERTPO Bootcamp). Regarding budget, Ms. Burr explained that budget was moved from various line items to accommodate increases in the line items for payroll/fringe benefits due to COLA, insurance, and meeting/conference expenses. She provided the example of meeting fees increasing due to planning for longer meetings for TPF rating.

Vincent Soule, EPCOG, spoke to their formal amendment. Overall, they did have a five percent increase in tasked hours, and hours have been moved around for more efficiency. Function 6 had more administrative hours than originally anticipated, to include the COG updating their cost allocation plan. The budget section was detailed. Mr. Soule explained what triggered the formal amendment was a five percent increase in expenditure which, when considering the individual line items, required a formal amendment. Percentage increases/decreases were described.

The Chair commented on the amount of local outreach that is provided by the COG offices is tremendous. He added that hopefully TPF will fall off, with a few minor future tweaks and fewer meetings. He added that he enjoyed the Bootcamp training last year and thought it was beneficial to the smaller entities. Outreach is important, especially with constant staff changes within the entities. Mr. Najar noted that the two budgets presented had the same totals and inquired whether each COG/District receives the same amount. Mr. Soule responded that it is the same for each.

Kevin Kennedy made a motion to approve Resolution No. 23-002 Approving the SNMEDD/COG and EP-COG FFY 23/24 RWP Formal Amendments. Jeff Patterson seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no objections, the motion passed by unanimous vote.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

Transportation Project Fund (TPF) Review and Discussion for FY 25 Application Cycle

The Chair commented that SERTPO just went through the TPF process, which he felt went very smoothly and reflected what the Committee wanted. District 2 ended up with the most TPF funding in the state. It is a reflection based on need for the project(s). SERTPO is being effective in communicating its needs to the Secretary at the state level. SERTPO put up a proficient grading and rating system reflects what is wanted in terms of shovel readiness and the need for projects for this year's awards. The Chair opened the floor for any comments, suggestions, or tweaks to be considered for next year's process. He also commented that SERTPO needs to look at maintenance and the timeline for getting funding spent. There are a few that have some maintenance and design not encumbered or spent. The dollars awarded need to be spent

effectively. The District 2 Engineer and the Commissioners were congratulated for their behind-the-scenes work. Kevin Kennedy commented that the leadership came up with a good program that worked and expressed appreciation for the funding awarded. Capitan was awarded design, then capital. The Chair commented that maintenance monies are working too, giving examples of entities getting their projects completed.

A member inquired on the separate phase criteria for planning and design, referring to their design being ninety percent complete. The Chair explained that SERTPO currently evaluates design separately from construction and referred to Capitan as an example. Mr. Kennedy commented that it takes an extra year, but the entity gets the job done. There was an additional comment on maintenance. Maintenance projects were scored for all the different entities, and everyone pretty much had the same score. The member inquired whether, for next year, that system will be the same or if a different scoring process will be used so there can be more differentiation. The Chair explained that maintenance was prioritized as fours and fives this year. The recommendations for those that qualified with the higher scores were for those entities that had not awarded monies to get the rankings of fours, and the rankings of fives were for those who had been awarded funding last year. The ranking was to ensure everyone receives funding. Everyone has projects. District 2 did a very good job of making that money go far as it could. SERTPO put in a request that was higher than what was going to be awarded, which is done every year. Last year, the point value was increased to try to make some of that separation. The District Engineer spoke about how maintenance projects were very hard to differentiate and added that other Districts combine everything. He commented that he likes the process currently used as it brings the most value and restated that maintenance is difficult.

A member commented that he felt the process was good, by separating it (maintenance), an entity who received funding will likely be out in the next application cycle. Traffic counts could be considered which would favor larger municipalities with more traffic. A fair process was desired for the entities who do not have the resources that the larger entities do. Discussion continued, touching on larger entities putting forward larger-scale projects for capital; maintenance monies going a long way, taking roads back to original condition; and multiple applications demonstrating the need in southeastern New Mexico. Comments were shared where maintenance monies were vetoed last year (\$60 million for TPF and maintenance), and a big push is anticipated in the next legislative session to further fund TPF and infrastructure projects (out of an approximate \$3.4 billion of funding available).

A member inquired about the projects awarded. The list of awarded projects was handed out to members. Light discussion was held on the projects awarded. A member commented that if entities have not started with the process for next year, they're already running late. More monies are expected for TPF in the next year. A reminder was made that if an entity did not receive funding this year, they will receive points which gives a higher level—more separation. Encouragement was offered to pull out their project applications and doctor them up for the next cycle. The District Engineer added that with the process, four and five rankings should be one and two rankings in the next year. The Chair asked members to reach out if they have questions. The Chair added that the TPF rankings are planned for the Roswell location, to be more centralized (in person only with possible livestream viewing). Applicants should present in person. On another note, Texico made comment/correction on the minutes that their individual who presented during the last meeting should read as Kathy Bullington.

SERTPO Program Managers Update

Mary Ann Burr spoke to the deadline for public comment of September 25, 2023, for the Southeast RTPO Coordinated Public Transit Human Services Transportation Plan. An email with the Draft Plan was submitted to members earlier. Transit and Rail staff were unable to present this date. They can present at a future meeting, but it would be on the final plan. Another item occurring in September is a Tribal/Local Public Agency (T/LPA) Certification training: 1) Roswell on 9/26-27/23 at the NMDOT Training

Academy; and 2) Hobbs on 9/20-21/23 at the Lea County Event Center (handouts were available on the sign-in table). September deadlines for the NM Regional Recreation Centers/Quality of Life Applications (Sept 13, 2023) and the Local Capital Outlay Project Cost Overruns (Sept 15, 2023) were provided, along with handouts (sign-in table). Ms. Burr continued by mentioning upcoming conferences in October: 1) 10/17-20/23 for the APA New Mexico Conference held at the Roswell Convention Center; 2) 10/18-19/23 for the Infrastructure Conference held in Las Cruces; and 3) 10/23-25/23 for the Outdoor Economics Conference held in Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Regarding the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)/Recreational Trails Program (RTP), the guides are being prepared to be put out to comment and the TAP/RTP Coordinator (Santa Fe) is hopeful that the call may be out during the months of October or November.

Vincent Soule, Deputy Director (EPCOG), introduced their new SERTPO planner, Julie Surina. Ms. Surina introduced herself, giving some background, and offered her business card/contact information for anyone who needs assistance.

Local Project Updates / NMDOT Updates

Francisco Sanchez, District 2 Engineer, thanked SERTPO for spending their monies. He commented that they evaluate statewide with the Secretary, and it makes a difference—District 2 is very efficient at spending down their funding. He added that District 2 is huge, with a vast network of 7,000 miles of highway to maintain. Much of their focus is on the highways, and they are systematically programming urban projects in the STIP. The District wants to address the needs of the communities, but it needs to be equitable. The District needs to know what the communities want. While communications oftentimes come via elected officials and others, entities may also contact their government liaison, Manon Arnett. He provided examples of projects and studies. He added that the State has a process to evaluate projects based on data. He concluded by asking entities to continue to make the small requests (e.g., lighting repairs, flashers, etc.).

Kevin Kennedy commented that he attended the recent Commission meeting and offered congratulations to the District 2 crew. He further stated that there had been discussion on TPF timelines, with entities receiving awards in late September or early October, prior to winter. He has an issue with the planning of it as it may take them to the spring; however, monies will be spent. The Engineer commented that they understood those issues and really see the value of planning and design, which is important to the SERTPO.

Louis Matta, NMDOT, requested members interested in the T/LPA training to be sure to register for the training (federal funding). The new Capital Outlay has been sent out for signatures on August 23, 2023. There are numerous LGRF projects that are expiring at the end of the year. He urged those who need extensions to send requests as soon as possible. While it is provided that extension requests should be submitted within sixty (60) days of expiration, these requests go to the District and must be turned around and submitted to Santa Fe. Selections for TPF have been made, and contracts will be going out. Mr. Matta mentioned that Ms. Arnett has been visiting local entities, with some of the entities experiencing staff turnover. District 2 will be sending a training notification at the end of the year about the time of a call for projects. Regarding construction projects, US 285 and 70 are wrapping up soon; US 60 in Clovis has begun; the Lovington project is going well; and other signal/lighting projects will be starting shortly. He spoke about additional projects in Carlsbad, Clovis, US 285/Hobson, and bridge construction. He reminded all to slow down in construction zones. Ms. Arnett asked members with whom she has not visited yet to meet up with her after the meeting. A member inquired on the TPF agreements. Mr. Matta stated they should be out soon, in September.

A member inquired on the email regarding the TPF awards as she did not receive it. Ms. Burr responded that the email went out to SERTPO representatives. Discussion was held on member mailings, the appropriate form, which reads *Representative/Alternate* and not *Policy/Technical*; discussion between the COGs

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO) Minutes of September 7, 2023 Meeting

of a full listing of the SERTPO membership (online); and the possibility of having the status of membership appointments on a future agenda. The Chair commented on the diligence of having memberships updated by Ms. Burr.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Louis Najar announced that the New Mexico Planning and Zoning state conference is in Roswell, on October 17-20, 2023. He also introduced Senior Engineer, James MacCornack, who is expected to be designated as their alternate in the future. Mr. MacCormack provided his background to members.

NEXT MEETING DATE/ADJOURNMENT

The next meeting date proposed was for November 30, 2023. There were no objections, and the City of Portales volunteered to host the meeting. Motion was made by Louis Najar for adjournment. Motion was seconded by Jeff Patterson. A call for votes was taken. With there being no objections, the motion passed by unanimous vote at 11:12 a.m.

| APPROVED BY: SER PO Committee Chair/Vice Chair | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| ATTESTED BY: | Date | |
| May An bur SERTPO Program Manager | //-30-23 Date | |

Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)

Minutes of the SERTPO Committee Meeting

November 30, 2023 – 10:00 am Portales Memorial Building

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Member (or Alternate) listed in Alphabetical Order

Baker, Shelia City of Hobbs Ball, Crystal City of Lovington Burns, Jason **Eddy County** Cummins, Shannon City of Eunice Forget, Meadow (Mayor) Village of Melrose Guilez, Richard Village of Tularosa Harrison, Connie City of Texico Honeycutt, Jeff Lincoln County

Jarvis, Joe City of Ruidoso Downs
Jennings, Dan (Councilor) Town of Hagerman
Jones, Walon Curry County
Lucero, Amanda De Baca County
McVey, Jo City of Portales

Morgan, Joseph Mescalero Apache Tribe

Myrick, Van City of Jal
Najar, Louis City of Roswell
Patterson, Jeff City of Carlsbad
Sanchez, Daniel Roosevelt County
Serna, Samantha Village of Ruidoso
Vega, Vyanca Village of Cloudcroft

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Bunch, Clint City of Clovis Burkett, Mickey (Mayor) Village of Dora Dean, Ray (Mayor) Town of Carrizozo Gallegos, Louie (Mayor) Village of Fort Sumner Garcia, Roman (Mayor) Town of Vaughn Gutierrez, Amy (Mayor) Town of Tatum Kennedy, Kevin Village of Capitan King, Kris (Mayor) Village of Causey Needham, Corey Lea County Onsurez, Jackie (Councilor) Village of Loving Porter, Tom Otero County Powell, Justin Town of Dexter Powell, Leona Village of Grady Rael, Stella City of Alamogordo Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor) Town of Lake Arthur Sales, Rudy Village of Hope

Salazar, Ysidro (Mayor)

Sales, Rudy

Seely, Sam (Mayor)

Summers, Kim

Valverde, Summer

West, Joe

Whitecotton, Toni

City of Alamogordo

Town of Lake Arthur

Village of Hope

Village of Corona

Town of Elida

City of Artesia

Chaves County

Village of Floyd

COG/NMDOT STAFF PRESENT:

Arnett, Manon NMDOT – Roswell

Burr, Mary Ann Southeastern New Mexico Economic

Development District (SNMEDD)

Coslin, Libby NMDOT - Roswell Jensen, Samuel NMDOT - Santa Fe Matta, Louis NMDOT - Roswell

Sanchez, Francisco NMDOT District 2 Engineer

Surina, Julie Eastern Plains Council of Governments (EPCOG)

GUESTS PRESENT:

Austin, Sarah City of Portales

Avitia, Jesus Souder Miller and Associates

Chavez, Steve City of Portales
Collins, Mary Clovis Area Transit
Dominguez, Juan Roosevelt County
Duran, Mingo City of Portales
Fulkrod, Brian Village of Melrose
Gentry, Debbie NM Dept. of Health

Gilsdorf, Sharon Zia Therapy Center (Alamogordo)

Johnson, Garry
Clovis Area Transit
Hobbs Express
Hardin, Joe
Z-Trans (Alamogordo)

Hicks, Rebecka
Roswell Transit
MacCornack, James (Alternate)
City of Roswell
Moore, Josh
Carlsbad Transit
De Baca County
Peacock, Missy
City of Texico
Pennington, Jacque
Robbs Express
Carlsbad Transit

Robb, Katie Carlsbad Transit
Roberts, Howard (Mayor/Alternate) City of Lovington
Ruvalcaba, Imelda (Alternate) City of Eunice

Salazar, Ben Congresswoman Teresa Leger Fernández

Sanchez, Adam (Alternate) Village of Ruidoso

CALL TO ORDER / QUORUM (11)

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

INTRODUCTIONS

Vice-Chairman Jeff Honeycutt called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. With twenty members present, a quorum was established. Members/guests participated with the Pledge of Allegiance. Introductions were held.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Louis Najar made a motion to approve the agenda, as presented. Jeff Honeycutt seconded the motion. Chairman Burns inquired on the presentation by NMDOT (Transit & Rail). Ms. Burr clarified that Mr. Ummadi was unable to attend, but NMDOT provided written opening remarks via a handout passed out to members. A call for votes was taken and with there being no objections, the motion passed by unanimous vote.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Burns inquired if there were any comments or concerns. Walon Jones made a motion to approve the September 7, 2023 minutes. Vyanca Vega seconded the motion. A call for votes was taken and with there being no discussion or objections, the motion passed unanimously.

ACTION ITEMS

A. FY2025 Public Transit Presentations and Scoring

Opening Remarks by NMDOT Transit and Rail Division provided in writing to members.

§5311 - Formula Grants for Rural Areas Scoring

Chairman Burns explained to all that members will review and score the transit presentations for the rural areas' transportation funding. Time limits are ten minutes. Scoring sheets were provided to members. Discussion was held on whether members of the same entity could score their own entity. It was determined that members would not score their own entity due to previous action taken.

1. City of Carlsbad – Carlsbad Municipal Transit System

Presenter: Josh Moore, Transit Manager

Mr. Moore explained that he was presenting a video this year to better present their information. He expressed appreciation for everyone and their efforts in scoring to help them receive funding. Public transportation is increasingly growing in demand and the need for accessibility. The video presentation included information on their short-term transit plan, to include maintenance of their fleet; expansion of demand response services and hours of operation; infrastructure such as bus shelters and amenities; and replacement of lifts with ramps with new low-floor buses. The goals and objectives of the City-adopted long term transit plan were covered.

Coordination with micro-transit, paratransit, and other transportation providers were listed, to include assistance by other public transit providers in the surrounding areas. Carlsbad Transit has coordination with the community and numerous stakeholders/agencies such as schools, post-secondary institutions, colleges, daycares, Boys & Girls Club, HOPE Center, nursing/retirement/hospital/medical facilities, state agencies and others.

FY24 funding numbers were displayed, with a review of the sources of revenue. Public input sources include customer surveys, People with Disabilities networking group, senior centers, library, museum, complaint/comment cards (located at the office, vans, and marketing stations), City 311 hotline, website complaint form, and email. The presentation addressed several principal transportation goals of the Carlsbad Long-Range Transportation Plan. To illustrate the growing demand in expansion areas, mapping using color coding of routes and expansion areas (highlighted) displayed Carlston Ranch, employee housing, new annexations, and the north/south subdivisions. Regarding the need to serve demand, ridership for demand response and fixed route were provided. Requests from the public come from the medical, commercial/business district, elderly/disabled, and tourism communities. A transit shelter project is currently in progress with fifteen new shelters to be placed along the fixed route. Ongoing projects include a transit technology project, which provides the ability to collect daily operations information generated by data and communication statistics, real-time vehicle location, and GPS tracking. Carlsbad Transit also has a transit surveillance project which includes the maintenance facility. Emergency response buttons have been installed on all vans.

The marketing plan includes spots on Carlsbad Radio; media located at municipal facilities and fixed route locations; newspapers; on-board advertising; public presentations; a dedicated page on the Carlsbad website; social media; and handouts/promotional items.

Josh Moore updated members that the shelters are going up, and they are starting to receive six new buses (from FY21). Chairman Burns inquired if Carlsbad Transit was going to start going to the Caverns. Mr. Moore explained that they are in coordination efforts with the Caverns as well as the Village of Loving. Currently, they are coordinating with Loving, to bus the seniors back and forth to Carlsbad so they may use the fixed route services. Regarding the FY21 funding, there had been a backlog for acquiring new vehicles. An inquiry was made into the new funding. The FY25 funding request will be for two new buses, in addition to operating and administration. An inquiry was also made on the funding for the fifteen new bus shelters. Mr. Moore responded that the shelters are being funded from the FY23 award.

2. <u>City of Clovis</u> – Clovis Area Transit (CAT)

Presenter: Garry Johnson, Transit Director

Mr. Johnson informed members that the previous Director retired in May. Utilizing a PowerPoint presentation, he explained that the CAT Program continues to be 100% demand response, operating within the city of Clovis. CAT plans to extend the service area out to a mile outside the city and will be going to the airport, which is approximately four miles towards the air base. Even with the decrease in ridership due to staff shortages, the ridership still shows a strong need to continue the service. New drivers start next week and will go through training. Hours of operation and fares were described. The Director spoke about the number of calls; traveled miles; service hours logged; number of trips during the past year; and trip statistics.

Mr. Johnson commented on the funding amounts of the application, with no capital requests. They are requesting basically the same as the previous year. The City of Clovis continues to be the sole provider for the match requirement. They continue to follow safe practices which have been in place since the pandemic, with masks available upon request (employees and customers). CAT is part of the City's five-year strategic plan and the updated Comprehensive Plan. Their plan establishes an overall vision for Clovis' development for twenty years. Transportation goals, objectives and implementation strategies are based on community priorities as determined during the public input process. CAT coordinates with other transportation providers in the area. To meet the need in the community, they work with nursing homes, senior centers, non-emergency transportation providers, disabled transportation, local shuttles, taxi service, and the local VA clinic.

They continue to look for funding opportunities to be able to expand their service. A senior pilot program previously administered by their Senior Services Department is ending, and CAT will be absorbing the program. All vehicles include stamped, self-addressed comment cards. The cards and survey forms are also kept in the transit facility's lobby. Buses should be rebranded by next year.

There continues to be a regional need, and CAT continues to serve the unmet needs of the area. Requests for transportation outside their service area are received daily. Information gathering for local and regional service is accomplished through interagency meetings, participation at local growth committee meetings, phone requests, and contacts. The Marketing Director continues to develop the website, advertising, and PSAs. Although recent advertising has been dedicated to hiring drivers, they continue to push advertising to encourage ridership. Advertising purchases are made annually to provide customer giveaways, keeping contact information in the hands of patrons. During the last cycle, they were awarded three vans, and two vehicles have recently been delivered.

An inquiry was made into staffing issues. Mr. Johnson provided an example of hiring four employees in June. He explained the difficulties of some not making it through the hiring process, and how the others have already left their employment. Discussion was held on staffing difficulties; CDL requirements and smaller vehicles (vans); and servicing of the schools. Mr. Johnson explained why their FY25 funding is for operating and administration only. Inquiry was made on their new hires and licensing. Mr. Johnson explained the City's training program.

3. **City of Hobbs** – Hobbs Express

Presenter: Jan Fletcher, City Clerk/Public Transportation Director

Ms. Fletcher introduced herself and Jacque Pennington, Hobbs Express Supervisor. With Power-Point presentation, Ms. Fletcher informed all of Hobbs Express' mission statement and hours of operation. Hobbs Express has three fixed routes, one Rapid route, paratransit, and paratransit demand response. It operates within Hobbs and the immediate surrounding area.

Ms. Fletcher described the demand response scheduling; displayed a map of the service area; and spoke to the fixed route operating schedule (color-coded routes). Hobbs Express first started operation in 1989 with one van and transported 3,919 passengers. As of September 30, 2023, they now transport a total of 874,000 passengers. The Director commented that in FY24-25, Hobbs Express will be celebrating its 35th year of public transportation service, and they plan to host a celebration event. Ms. Fletcher spoke to the FY24-25 funding request amounts [displayed] and explained capital requests which include one replacement bus and the updating of radios in all the buses, plus the base radio system. The funding requests include a 5% increase from the prior year. Operating and administrative costs are at the same level but do include adjustments for inflationary costs.

Through the City's annual budgetary process, Hobbs Express plans and outlines its short and long-term transit goals. They are part of the City's overall Master Plan, approved by the City Commission. Through project coordination, Hobbs Express does not provide same-day service; all demand response rides must be scheduled one day in advance. Same day service requests are referred to the local taxi service.

Hobbs Express coordinates with multiple agencies/institutions, which were mentioned. They work together to meet the various transportation needs of passengers. Letters of support were displayed on-screen. The regional need and justification for their system is their service. Each grant year, Hobbs Express maximizes and expends the bulk of the grant funds that they receive from NMDOT. Their efforts are showing because their ridership is increasing. The basis of the need for their service is about ridership. Ridership charting over a span of years was discussed/displayed. Miles traveled during the past budget year were discussed, and a description of growth in their economy was given. There continues to be a need. Hobbs Express is currently fare-free, which started since the pandemic. The Director believes free fares are partially responsible for the increased ridership.

Hobbs Express is budgeted for nine full-time and two part-time positions. They are currently short of four positions. Vacancies impact service delivery, and supervisors drive buses when needed. Ms. Fletcher explained the CDL incentive offered and the difficulty competing with the oilfield CDL pay. Hobbs Express uses year-round extensive marketing through its website and Facebook, which is necessary to attract new riders and keep the community informed.

A member inquired about the services ending at 5:00 p.m. Ms. Fletcher responded that a goal would be to have extended hours, depending on staffing. Additional inquiry was made on service to Lovington. The Director confirmed that they do not service Lovington, only within the City of Hobbs and outlying areas. It was noted that they had a letter of support from Nor-Lea. Ms. Fletcher explained that Nor-Lea has a clinic in Hobbs, and they serve many for medical appointments. Additional questions touched on the free fares and fiscal year, to which the Manager responded.

4. **City of Portales** – Portales Area Transit

Presenter: Katherine Hall, Transit Director

Ms. Hall introduced herself and explained their staffing for operating, which includes openings for a part-time driver and administrative assistant. Portales Area Transit covers the City of Portales and five miles outside the city limits. She provided the days and hours of operation. In 2023, PAT had a total of 11,816 trips. Their short-term goal is to continue to provide safe, reliable, public and ADA

accessible services and to continue to do their part to increase access to jobs, educational opportunity, grocery stores, health care and other critical services. They work closely with the city and the community to improve and expand public transit, especially for the underserved citizens.

To meet and raise service levels, they evaluate the current fleet to repair and replace any aging vehicles. Their ongoing funding commitment was adopted by the Portales City Council in the Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan and annual Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plan (ICIP) are presented at public hearings for public input prior to the adoption by the City Council and are part of the formal public input process. PAT provides an open-door policy at their Program office and City Hall. She explained they will continue with their requests for funding through NMDOT Transit & Rail.

Long-range goals are to effectively and regularly evaluate the PAT Program funding and provision to grow the needs of the community for public transportation. They plan to work together with the local Work Force Solutions agency because they have trouble keeping the program staffed. She explained some of their staffing difficulties. PAT coordinates with the community service center, senior centers, Continuing Care Center, and Clovis Senior Center. PAT plans to continue its trips to Clovis once they are fully staffed. She explained that with next month's holiday season, they plan to make two trips to Clovis to take passengers shopping.

Their marketing plan uses a variety of public outreach, to include printed brochures that are displayed in public buildings and local motels; local radio advertising; and participation in different events at ENMU Campus. Materials distributed include their name and contact information.

Ms. Hall provided the total funding request amount, the federal funding request, and the local match. Inquiry was made on trips to Clovis. Ms. Hall explained they had been doing such service for medical transport, but as an outreach to the community, they plan limited service to Clovis for shopping. Inquiry was made on their contact at the University. Ms. Hall explained her contact through one of ENMU's events. A member recommended a good point of contact being the Vice-President of Student Affairs. Inquiry was made on the amount of service to the University. Ms. Hall explained the predominant ridership are the citizens of Portales, but they visit the University at least two to three times a week. She commented that they have a wheelchair-bound passenger that uses it frequently. Regarding the funding requested, they will use it for repairs and repair services on the buses. Ms. Hall noted that they have had difficulty with parts.

5. **City of Roswell** – Roswell Transit

Presenter: Becky Hicks, Transit Director

Ms. Hicks made PowerPoint presentation and explained that everything they do, to include short-and long-term goals, is based around their mission, vision, and core values, which were reviewed. In the short term, they want to get fully staffed, increase the number of routes, and extend service times. Ms. Hicks provided the weekday/weekend hours of operation. Roswell Transit hopes to extend the times to 10 p.m. Fixed route service is on Main Street, and it is on-demand service for rides off Main Street. They also offer complementary paratransit service. Roswell Transit is considering moving some bus stops for the on-demand service to locations that are more people-centered. They have 225 bus stops -- 85 on Main Street and the remaining stops are on side routes.

Roswell Transit is acquiring new scheduling software. The software will have a rider's app so the public can see where the buses are in real-time, which should decrease phone traffic. Currently, in an 8-hour day, they are averaging 250 calls, to include calls for on-demand service. Tablets are planned for buses so information can reach on-demand drivers immediately, reducing phone traffic and confusion. Buses are being equipped with seatbelts. She reminded all that Main Street is US 285 and provided examples of why seatbelts are necessary. Roswell Transit is partnering with

organizations to provide funding for bus rides. While Roswell is not fare-free, they do have an organization that covers the expenses for veteran transportation.

Long-term goals include keeping their building in a state of good repair. There have been projects in progress for Roswell Transit, and she described facility components. Facility maintenance is being coordinated with the City. More bus-stop shelters and benches are desired, but efforts will be delayed until the second phase of Roswell's Wayfinding plan is complete. The City Engineer, Louis Najar, has agreed to do two ADA-compliant bus stops a year. Old bus-stop signs are being replaced with new signs, which are part of the Wayfinding project.

Marketing includes an active website; Facebook Page; published rider's schedule (available online); rack cards for paratransit for doctors' offices, senior centers, etc.; public service announcements; videos; quarterly Roswell seasonal program guide; and advertising in a magazine. Collaborative associations were displayed, and Ms. Hicks described the collaborations with New Mexico Military Institute, the Eagles, and hospitals.

Regarding ridership, she described the displayed charting which included the pandemic period. Currently, they do not have enough employees to extend hours to where they were in the pre-COVID period. They are at the maximum with the current service times and routes. Paratransit is growing. They have had nine new applications this past month. Financial information for FY2023, FY2024 and FY2025 was presented. Capital requests were for rolling stock. For regional needs, they are in Transportation District Two, and they really need interconnectivity between counties. Ms. Hicks gave an example where there are passengers visiting the Rehab Center, hospital, and Artesia hospital that have no way to get home. Ms. Hicks stated that they really need to have those conversations and the possibility of a Regional Transit District (RTD).

Inquiry was made on scooters; hours of operation ending time; wayfinding; branding; and funding. Light discussion was held on all, to include bus shelters in residential areas and funding sources/grants for art.

6. **Zia Therapy Center** – Z-Trans

Presenters: Joe Hardin, Director of Transit

Sharon Gilsdorf, Chief Financial Officer

Sharon Gilsdorf passed out their route schedule to members and explained that they currently have eleven routes. Joe Hardin provided history on Zia Therapy Center and listed the years routes were added. Zia does have a short-term five-year and a ten-year plan that is approved by their Board. They receive input from various committees and coordinate with the City, County, and funding partners. They also coordinate with Roadrunner (Las Cruces), Park and Ride (Las Cruces), South Central Region Transit District, Greyhound, and Ruidoso Shuttle. Zia Therapy Center is a non-profit agency, so their licensing is different than the other public transportation providers in that they are licensed under the Public Regulatory Commission. They adhere to NMDOT Transit & Rail guidelines and rules and to the Federal Motor Care Safety standards. Regarding Mescalero, they have received a §5311(c) discretionary grant for a snowplow.

Ridership was at its highest peak in 2016. Ridership was increasing every year until the pandemic hit. Numbers also went down for staffing. Zia received two buses this year that had been ordered in 2018. The supply chain has created difficulties, and Mr. Hardin described the difficulty repairing a bus due to lack of parts. Ruidoso requires eight drivers, but currently only has two drivers. During the year, Zia has visited the City of Ruidoso Downs and the Lodger's Tax Committee, who is now providing funding. Zia has implemented a route and hopes to do the same with the Village of Ruidoso in January. Zia wishes to start back on Saturday service and seasonal service (Saturday and Sunday) during the horseracing season.

Funding partners were listed. Zia does fixed route service primarily. Mr. Hardin described all routes and the areas served. Zia provides the best service possible with the funding and personnel available. The need for transit service has become great.

Zia has a marketing plan, which includes radio ads, local TV ads, newspaper articles, and social media. Zia offers Wi-Fi on their buses, bus tracking and real-time video. Paratransit service is provided in Alamogordo, Ruidoso, and Lincoln County with Paratransit/Senior Dial-A-Ride. Ms. Gilsdorf explained that they do have a process for public input (surveys). Mescalero makes up half of their ridership. Zia is preparing to expand in January. Ms. Gilsdorf listed the funding application amounts, which includes a capital request for a 20-passenger bus (two wheelchair lift positions), which will serve as a replacement. The fare structure was described.

A member inquired on their current ridership in Ruidoso and Ruidoso Downs area and how far out they go into the county. Ms. Gilsdorf responded that the current ridership for the fixed route is 5,596 riders and paratransit was 1,391, which is less than the previous year. When Ruidoso Downs cut the funding, it cut the route. Mr. Hardin explained that when they first started in 2018, Zia did service to Hondo, Carrizozo, etc., but the County pulled the funding. Current service is to the Village and the Downs. Ms. Gilsdorf explained that since they are a nonprofit, their local match must be from the funding partner(s). Following inquiry, Ms. Gilsdorf offered more information on the §5311(c) discretionary grant funding (tribal) used for the snowplow to clear Mescalero routes.

§5310 – Transportation for Elderly Individuals & Individuals with Disabilities Scoring

1. **Zia Therapy Center** – Z-Trans

Presenters: Joe Hardin, Director of Transit

Sharon Gilsdorf, Chief Financial Officer

Zia has in-house programs such as Adult Day Rehabilitation, Life Transitions with Gerald Champion hospital, and others. Zia coordinates with other transportation providers, local senior centers, and three nursing homes (more paratransit). Alamogordo no longer has a cab company. Zia plans for the growth in the disability community. With continuous retirements, the need constantly increases. Zia cannot cover all growth unless an additional paratransit is instituted. Paratransit is more costly than fixed route. Zia receives public input from committees, such as the disabled committee, Alamogordo committee, and Ruidoso committee. There is a clear and very defined need for this service, as indicated by the growth.

Zia has a comprehensive maintenance plan. Maintenance is not performed in-house because licensure through PRC requires all mechanics working on their buses to be ASC certified. Zia can only do light maintenance, and Mr. Hardin is certified to work on wheelchair lifts. Mr. Hardin described their comprehensive maintenance plan and its effectiveness with older buses that are still running; in-house maintenance personnel structure; recordkeeping; training; and monthly safety newsletters. Ms. Gilsdorf added that for the §5310 and §5311 programs, maintenance and training are the same, very intensive for vehicles and employees. For the §5310 funding request, Ms. Gilsdorf explained they are requesting an 11-passenger, two wheelchair lift position replacement vehicle. Ridership has doubled from last year.

A member inquired how often training was conducted. Mr. Hardin responded that it depends on the type of training and gave examples. Regarding the scoring criteria, Mr. Hardin added that maintenance items are requested on the §5310 program but not the §5311 program.

B. RTP 2023 Call for Projects and SERTPO Timeline Approval

The Chair inquired if members had any comments or concerns with the timeline. At this time, Samuel Jensen, the new NMDOT Bike-Ped Coordinator, introduced himself and explained that he oversees the

Active Transportation grant opportunities. He offered that the Department will be opening a Call for Projects for the Recreational Trails Program (RTP) this week. Through the RTPO, there will be a Guide distributed that explains the program.

Mr. Jensen proceeded with a PowerPoint presentation and explained that the RTP is a federally funded reimbursement program that funds the development and maintenance of recreational trails throughout the state. Trails can be motorized and non-motorized. Projects have been funded across the state, including the southeast region. A program in Roswell was done recently and is still underway. Mr. Jensen listed the eligible entities for application to the program and explained that the same information is detailed in the Guide. Eligible projects are maintenance and restoration of existing trails; development of maintenance trailside and trailhead facilities; construction of new trails, motorized (e.g., ATVs, snow-mobile, etc.)/non-motorized and mixed trails; bike/walking/hiking trails; statewide recreational trail plans; trails and facilities relating to e-bikes (new and detailed in the Guide); and purchase/lease of trail maintenance equipment.

RTP is a reimbursement program where entities must cover the costs upfront and get reimbursed. RTP requires a local match of 14.56%. NMDOT has approximately \$1.3 million funding statewide, which is divided up between the different types of trails. Infrastructure projects will be funded under two separate agreements. FFY25 is for design/certifications, and FFY26 is for construction. Non-infrastructure, which includes non-paved trails, will be managed under one agreement. For the infrastructure projects, entities need to look at the Tribal/Local Public Agency (TLPA) Handbook. For the application process, the applicant will start out with the Project Feasibility Form (PFF) which goes through the RTPO. The Department is requesting that JoAnn Garcia, Program Coordinator, be invited to the project feasibility meetings, so they can make sure the application received can be funded. Additionally, documentation of the feasibility meetings is part of the application. If a project is deemed to be feasible, entities go on to apply with the PFF, Project Prospectus Form (PPF), RTP Application (to be emailed out with the Guide), the resolution of sponsorship; and letters of support (examples given).

Requirements include agreeing to the 14.56% local match; paying costs upfront and then getting reimbursed; agreeing to pay for costs exceeding the award amount; and agreeing to maintain the project after it has been built. A new requirement is that entities need to obtain TLPA certification, prior to entering into agreement(s). An entity may make an application, receive notice from NMDOT that they intend on funding and proceed with getting the certification. Certification training events are being held statewide. Sponsoring agencies will then enter into a Cooperative Project Agreement with NMDOT. It is important to note that an entity cannot complete work ahead of time before the Agreement is signed and still get reimbursed. All expenses to be reimbursed need to happen after the Agreement is signed. With it being a federal funding program, there is ADA compliance, environmental clearances, etc. For an infrastructure project, the entity will work with the NMDOT local design center in the district. Entities will need an ADA Transition Plan and Title VI Plan — the only exceptions are tribal agencies. There are several ADA requirements that vary based on where the project is located and are outlined in the Guide.

The State has implemented a TLPA training and certification requirement. Danny Sandoval, CCRB, is heading up the training, and his contact information was provided on screen. Regarding the timeline, the Call for Projects is going out this week. Between now and February, it will go through the RTPO process. March 29, 2024 is when the complete applications are due to NMDOT. In April, the Advisory Board will review, rank, and recommend projects for funding. In May, award letters will go out, and in October, the 2025-27 STIP becomes active. Contact information for JoAnn Garcia, Programs Coordinator, was provided.

A member inquired on whether letters of support are required. Mr. Jenson responded that the letters of support referred to earlier pertain to the project if it is going to be on someone else's land. If the entity is working with a different agency, NMDOT needs to know that they are aware of the project and support it. A letter or resolution is also still needed from the governing body. An inquiry was made into funding

available. Mr. Jensen provided that the funding is \$1.3 million statewide for all trail types. Mr. Jensen offered that the State also has the Outdoor Recreation Trails+ Grant Program through the Environment Department (additional funding). An inquiry was made into TAP monies, and Mr. Jensen was unaware of any funding at this time.

The Chair asked members if they had any questions on the timeline. Ms. Burr spoke to the key date of January 11, 2024 for PFFs being due. The key date of final applications due NMDOT (March 29, 2024) that Mr. Jensen provided during the presentation is the same date listed in the timeline. By approving the timeline now, members will not lose out on a month for PFF preparation. Louis Najar made a motion to approve the timeline for the RTP projects. Samantha Serna seconded the motion. With no objections or further discussion, the motion was approved unanimously.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

SERTPO Program Managers Update

Mary Ann Burr expressed thanks to the City of Portales for hosting the meeting. She reminded members to turn in their scoring sheets if they had not already done so. Ms. Burr explained that the results will be tabulated and reviewed with both COGs concurring on the results. The results will be shared with NMDOT Transit & Rail, members, and the public transit providers.

Ms. Burr commented that she attended the SNMEDD Capital Outlay hearings, with Chaves County hearings held at Chaves County Commission Chambers; Lea County hearings held at the Lea County Courthouse; Eddy County hearings held at the Artesia City Council Chambers; and Otero/Lincoln County hearings held at ENMU-Ruidoso. Ms. Burr spoke about changes in membership. Ruidoso Downs has a new Representative Joe Jarvis, with Alternate Israel Chavez. For the City of Jal, Wes Hooper is the new Alternate. For the City of Roswell, James MacCornack is the new Alternate. For the Village of Cloudcroft, the new Representative is Vyanca Vega, with Alternate Joe John Carrizal. The annual mailing for membership is planned for January.

The Paving and Transportation Conference is in Albuquerque on January 3-4, 2024, and the New Mexico Counties Legislative Conference is in Santa Fe on January 16-18, 2024. Ms. Burr concluded by giving a status update on the CDBG Comprehensive and Asset Management Plans. The RTPO quarterly meeting is anticipated in January.

Julie Surina informed members that she completed the TLPA training. Danny Sandoval, CCRB, spoke to NERTPO yesterday. There are approximately three trainings left, and they are trying to add a training in Alamogordo. Once the finalized list is available, it will be distributed. After January, the training will be made available online (videos and testing).

Local Project Updates / NMDOT Updates

Louis Matta, District 2, informed members that all the extensions requested are in the works. The rule is sixty days, and mid-point has been reached. In January, there will be a Call-for-Projects for the Local Government Road Fund (LGRF) Program. An email and PowerPoint will be sent out. If no email is received by the 15th, Mr. Matta encouraged members to contact him, Manon Arnett, or Libby Coslin. There may be a lack of email delivery due to staff turnover, incorrect email addresses, etc. If a local government has new staff, he requested that the local government let the District know, and they will review the PowerPoint for training with them and/or visit the entity at their office.

The TLPA training program certification is good for two years and is a requirement. Libby Coslin has twenty-four projects that she is closing out by the end of the year. If a local government has a project done

and ready to close, he urged them to get it submitted to Ms. Coslin. Mr. Matta also urged members to contact him if the LGRF handbook (state funding) is needed, which is also available online.

A member inquired on the funding level for LGRF for this year. Mr. Matta responded that legislation sets that number. Regarding legislative activity, Chairman Burns informed members that legislation involving Transportation Project Fund (TPF) was vetoed last year and explained the circumstances. He spoke to future TPF legislation where percentages may be increased, and funding doubled. A push for TPF with a focus on maintenance is anticipated. The monies in District 2 have been put to good use. He urged members to make sure they are spending their TPF monies and planning projects for the upcoming year.

Mr. Matta encouraged members to tell school districts about the School District Co-op. He commented that school districts are submitting \$4 million parking lot projects, and the funding level is approximately \$300,000 -- a maintenance-type funding. Regarding the timing of TPF calls, Ms. Burr explained that the last two calls were dated in January. Mr. Matta reminded all that the calls for funding were coming out at the same time (LGRF and TPF). The Chairman commented that the legislators are looking at unspent balances on Capital Outlay. Louis Najar added that during the Chaves County Capital Outlay hearings, the legislators spoke of claw back.

Samuel Jensen provided an update whereby the Vulnerable Road User Safety Assessment was submitted to FHWA and expressed thanks to those that participated. This information is posted on the NMDOT website. Maps showing hot spots can also be reviewed. New Mexico continues to be number one in the pedestrian fatality rate. Mr. Jensen also expressed thanks to everyone who participated in the truck parking survey. It is currently being analyzed. Results are expected in April, which will help in identifying locations where additional truck parking is needed. Further, there is a new tool about crash data from UNM that is useful for local governments. There is a new Vision Zero for Youth leadership award that is coming out of the Vision Zero for Youth organization, a national organization. If there are youth in the community who are working to help advance walking and biking safety, please consider application at www.vision-zeroforyouth.org, and the application deadline is December 16th.

Chairman Burns inquired on the TPF workshop being planned. Ms. Burr explained that SNMEDD is considering a time following the TPF timeline official approval -- early February.

NEXT MEETING DATE/ADJOURNMENT

The next meeting date proposed was for Wednesday, January 31, 2024 in Ruidoso. Light discussion was held on activity in the new year, to include the workshop. TPF evaluations will be done in Roswell. Motion was made by Louis Najar for adjournment. Motion was seconded by Jeff Honeycutt. A call for votes was taken. With there being no objections, the motion passed by unanimous vote at 12:15 p.m.

| <u>/-31-2024</u> Date |
|--------------------------|
| |
| 7-31-2024 Date |
| |